- 1 **Title:** Mutualism-enhancing mutations dominate early adaptation in a microbial community
- 2 Authors: Sandeep Venkataram¹, Huan-Yu Kuo^{1,2}, Erik F. Y. Hom³, Sergey Kryazhimskiy^{1,*}
- 3

4 Affiliations:

- ⁵ ¹Division of Biological Sciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093;
- ⁶ ²Department of Physics, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093;
- ³Department of Biology and Center for Biodiversity and Conservation Research, University of
 Mississippi, University, MS 38677
- 9 *Corresponding author: <u>skryazhi@ucsd.edu</u>
- 10

11 Abstract:

12 Species interactions drive evolution while evolution shapes these interactions. The resulting eco-

13 evolutionary dynamics, their outcomes and their repeatability depend on how adaptive mutations

- 14 available to community members affect fitness and ecologically relevant traits. However, the
- 15 diversity of adaptive mutations is not well characterized, and we do not know how this diversity
- 16 is affected by the ecological milieu. Here we use barcode lineage tracking to address this gap in a
- 17 competitive mutualism between the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and the alga
- 18 *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii.* We find that yeast has access to many adaptive mutations with
- 19 diverse ecological consequences, in particular, those that increase and reduce the yields of both
- 20 species. The presence of the alga does not change which mutations are adaptive in yeast (i.e.,
- there is no fitness trade-off for yeast between growing alone or with alga), but rather shifts selection to favor yeast mutants that increase the yields of both species and make the mutualism
- stronger. Thus, in the presence of the alga, adaptations contending for fixation in yeast are more
- 24 likely to enhance the mutualism, even though cooperativity is not directly favored by natural
- selection in our system. Our results demonstrate that ecological interactions not only alter the
- trajectory of evolution but also dictate its repeatability; in particular, weak mutualisms can
- 27 repeatably evolve to become stronger.

2

29 Introduction

30 Ecological communities are often perturbed by environmental shifts^{1,2}, demographic noise³ and

31 species turnover^{4,5}. Such perturbations can not only displace communities from their ecological

equilibria but also precipitate adaptive evolution^{6–9}. Evolutionary changes within one species can
 be rapid and can alter its ecological interactions with other community members, which can

33 be rapid and can after its ecological interactions with other community members, which cause further evolution^{8–10}. Although such eco-evolutionary feedbacks appear to be

35 widespread^{7,9–25}, the population genetic mechanisms that underlie them are not well

36 understood²⁶. For example, how does the spectrum of adaptive mutations available to a species

37 (i.e. the genomic locations and fitness effects of mutations that provide fitness benefits) depend

38 on the composition of the surrounding community? In particular, how does it change when a

39 species is lost from the community or a new species invades it? How many and which of the

40 adaptive mutations available to a species affect its interactions with the rest of the community?

41 Which of these mutations are likely to spread and fix? And thus, how diverse and repeatable are

42 the ecological outcomes of evolution?

43 Empirical data supporting answers to these questions would help us develop a better theoretical

44 understanding of eco-evolutionary dynamics. For example, many existing models assume that

45 any combination of traits can be produced by mutations so that the eco-evolutionary trajectories

and outcome are determined exclusively by natural selection²⁷. However, recent evidence

47 suggests that the availability of mutations can significantly impact evolution²⁸⁻³². Yet, we know

48 very little about the distributions of ecological and fitness effects of new mutations in multi-

49 species communities and how these distributions shift when the ecological milieu changes—for

50 example, due to the addition or extinction of community members.

51 Here, we address this gap in one of the simplest experimentally tractable microbial communities.

52 Our community consists of two species, the alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* and the yeast

53 *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, that interact in our environment via competition and mutualism³³.

54 Although communities in nature often contain more members, understanding eco-evolutionary

dynamics in simple model communities is helpful for developing an intuition and expectations
 for the behaviors of more complex ecosystems³⁴⁻³⁶. We measure how adaptive mutations arising

for the behaviors of more complex ecosystems³⁴⁻³⁶. We measure how adaptive mutations arisin in one member of our community, the yeast, affect its competitive fitness (a metric that

in one member of our community, the yeast, affect its competitive fitness (a metric that
 determines the evolutionary success of a mutant lineage), the absolute abundances of both

59 species in the community (a metric that informs us about the type of interactions between species

and the stability of the community) as well as basic life-history traits of yeast (growth rates and

61 carrying capacities) that contribute to both fitness and abundances. We specifically ask whether

and how the statistical distribution of effects of adaptive mutations in yeast are altered by the

63 presence/absence of the alga. To this end, we use the barcode lineage tracking (BLT)

64 technology^{37,38} to isolate hundreds of adaptive mutations arising in yeast when it evolves alone or

65 in community with the alga. Our data offer us a detailed view on how inter-species interactions

affect the evolutionary dynamics of new mutations, and how these mutations in turn alter the

67 ecology of our community.

68 Results

69 Yeast and alga form a facultative competitive mutualism

70 In a previous study, Hom and Murray showed that in a sealed environment in which nitrite is 71 provided as a sole source of nitrogen and glucose is provided as a sole source of organic carbon, 72 the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae and the alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii spontaneously 73 form an obligate mutualism³³. Under such conditions, C. reinhardtii consumes nitrite and 74 produces ammonium that is secreted and utilized by S. cerevisiae, which consumes glucose and 75 produces CO₂ that is in turn utilized by the alga. When the environment is opened to ambient gas 76 exchange and ammonium is supplied in the medium, both the yeast and the alga can survive 77 without each other. In this study, we grow the yeast and the alga alone and together over multiple 78 5-day growth and dilution cycles in well-mixed and well-lit conditions, open to gas exchange, in

- a medium supplemented with 0.5 mM of ammonium (see Methods for details).
- 80 Time-course cell-density measurements of the wild-type yeast and alga over a single cycle
- 81 confirm that both species grow significantly differently in each other's presence than alone
- 82 (Figure 1, Extended Data Figure 1, Data S1, repeated-measures ANOVA $P = 10^{-4}$ for the yeast
- 83 and $P = 5 \times 10^{-8}$ for the alga), indicating that they ecologically interact in our experimental
- 84 environment. Specifically, the alga achieves higher densities over the entire growth cycle in the
- 85 community compared to growth alone. The presence of the alga alters yeast growth dynamics in
- a more complex way. When yeast grows alone, it reaches peak cell density at 48 hours, after
 which point its density gradually declines (Figure 1A), suggesting that it exhausts the initial
- supply of ammonium after about 48 hours. When yeast grows in community with the alga, the
- two species initially compete, likely for ammonium. This can be seen by a reduction of the peak
- 90 yeast cell density at 48 hours (Figure 1A). Upon the depletion of supplemented ammonium, the
- 91 alga subsequently reduces nitrite to ammonium that it then secretes³³. This nitrogen provisioning
- 92 by the alga reduces the yeast's rate of population decline between days 3 and 4 (t-test $P = 5 \times 10^{-10}$
- ⁴, Extended Data Figure 1, Table S1). As a result, yeast reaches approximately the same density
- by the end of the cycle in the community as it does alone, despite having a lower peak density on
- day 2. Thus, in the latter portion of the growth cycle, the yeast experiences a benefit from its
- 96 interaction with the alga. Since yeast and alga initially compete and later cooperate in our
- 97 conditions, we refer to our system as a competitive mutualism 39 .

98 Although the ecological interactions in our system are quite complex, it would be convenient to 99 quantify them with some simple summary statistics. We can do so, for example, by comparing 100 the final densities that each species achieves at the end of the 5-day cycle when growing in 101 community versus alone (Figure 1). We refer to these final densities as "yields". Specifically, we 102 compute the ratio of yeast yield in community (YYC) to its yield alone (YYA) and the ratio of 103 alga yield in community (AYC) to its yield alone (AYA). Both ratios exceeding unity indicate 104 that cooperation is on the whole more important than competition. Conversely, when both ratios 105 are less than one, competition is more important on the whole than cooperation. For our wildtype 106 community, we find that YYC to YYA ratio is not significantly different from one, while the 107 AYC to AYA ratio equals 3.00 (95% Confidence Interval (CI) [2.64, 3.36], Student's t-test t =13.24, df=7.12, $P = 3 \times 10^{-6}$; Figure 1). This indicates that, on the whole, the alga benefits from its 108 109 interactions with the yeast, while the yeast, on the whole, neither benefits nor suffers from its 110 interactions with the alga. Thus, according to this metric, yeast and alga form a net commensal

- relationship. However, we emphasize that this net commensalism is a result of a balance between the underlying competitive and concretive interactions
- 112 the underlying competitive and cooperative interactions.
- 113 The fact that the yeast and the alga can grow in our conditions either alone or together as a
- 114 community allows us to inquire how evolution of one species is affected by its ecological
- 115 interactions with the other, under otherwise identical environmental conditions. We focus on the
- 116 initial phase of adaptive evolution. Since the yeast is likely to adapt faster than the alga (see
- 117 Supplementary Information), we characterize the distribution of ecological and fitness effects of
- adaptive mutations arising in yeast and examine how these distributions depend on the
- 119 presence/absence of the alga.

120 The presence of the alga alters the fitness effects of beneficial 121 mutations in yeast without apparent trade-offs

- 122 We first asked whether and how ecological interactions with the alga change the distribution of
- 123 fitness effects of adaptive mutations arising in yeast. To this end, we carried out five replicate
- 124 BLT experiments in yeast evolving <u>a</u>lone (the "A-condition") and in a <u>c</u>ommunity with the alga
- 125 (the "C-condition"; Methods). In each population, we tracked the frequencies of $\sim 5 \times 10^5$ neutral
- 126 DNA barcodes integrated into the yeast genome⁴⁰ for 17 growth and dilution cycles. We
- 127 identified on average 2,820 and 2,905 adapted barcode lineages per culture in A- and C-
- 128 conditions, respectively (Methods; Extended Data Figures 2, 3, Figures S1–S8). The similarity of
- these numbers suggests that the presence of the alga does not dramatically change the rate at
- 130 which beneficial mutations arise in yeast.
- 131 Each adapted lineage is expected to initially carry a single beneficial driver mutation^{37,38}. Thus,
- by tracking barcode frequencies, we can estimate the competitive fitness benefits of many
- 133 simultaneously segregating driver mutations relative to the ancestral yeast strain (Methods). The
- estimated distributions of fitness effects of beneficial mutations (bDFEs) are much broader than
- 135 expected from measurement noise alone in both A- and C-conditions (Supplementary
- 136 Information), indicating that yeast has access to multiple mutations with different fitness
- 137 benefits, consistent with previous work^{37,41}. The bDFEs in A- and C-conditions are different in
- both median effect and breadth (Figure 2A, S6 and S7). Specifically, the presence of the alga 120
- reduced the bDFE median (1.60 in A vs. 1.50 in C; $P < 10^{-4}$, two-sided permutation test, see Methods) and increased its width (interguartile range (IQR) = 0.31 in A vs. IQR = 0.37 in C; P <
- 141 10⁻⁴, two-sided permutation test). This increase in width is associated with the appearance of two
- peaks with higher relative fitness values around 2.0 and 2.5 (Figures 2A and S6). Since the
- dynamics of adaptation depend on the shape of the bDFE^{42,43}, these results indicate that the
- 144 presence of the alga alters evolutionary dynamics in the yeast population.
- 145 The presence of the alga can alter the yeast bDFE by changing which mutations are beneficial
- 146 (i.e., by imposing a fitness trade-off relative to the A-condition) or by changing the fitness
- 147 benefits provided by adaptive mutations, or both. To discriminate between these possibilities, we
- 148 randomly sampled 221 yeast clones from distinct adapted lineages in the A-condition ("A-
- 149 mutants") and 189 yeast clones from distinct adapted lineages in the C-condition ("C-mutants").
- 150 Clones were sampled at cycle nine, a time point at which most adapted lineages are expected to
- still be driven by a single beneficial mutation (Methods). We then used competition assays to
- 152 measure the fitness of all A- and C-mutants relative to their ancestor in both A- and C-conditions
- 153 (Methods; Figures S9–S11; Data S2). These direct measurements of competitive fitness are
- 154 concordant with our estimates from the BLT experiment (see Supplementary Information; Figure

- 155 S12). We found that the C-mutants had significantly higher fitness in their "home" C-condition
- 156 than A-mutants, by on average 10.8% (95% CI [7.7%, 14.0%], $P = 2 \times 10^{-11}$, ANOVA model:
- 157 fitness ~ environment, F = 45.83, df = 1) and that A-mutants were more fit in their home A-
- 158 condition than C-mutants by on average 14.4% (95% CI [10.2%, 18.5%], $P = 2 \times 10^{-11}$; F =
- 45.42, df = 1), consistent with a signature of local adaptation. However, the fitness distributions
- 160 of both A- and C-mutants are wide and overlapping in both conditions, so that some A-mutants
- 161 are more fit than some C-mutants in the C-condition and vice versa.
- 162 Interactions with the alga significantly alter the fitness of 88% (362/410) of all sampled mutants
- 163 (false discovery rate (FDR) = 11%, obtained by permutation). However, fitness is positively
- 164 correlated between the two conditions across all mutants (Figure 2B; Pearson R = 0.70, 95% CI
- 165 [0.65, 0.75], two-sided $P = 10^{-63}$, t = 19.9, df = 408). Importantly, none of the A- or C-mutations
- are deleterious in their "non-home" condition. Thus, the presence of the alga changes the fitness
- 167 benefits provided by adaptive mutations in yeast but does not impose a measurable fitness trade-
- 168 off, in the sense that it does not alter which mutations are beneficial.

169 The presence of the alga alters the distribution of mutations

170 contending for fixation in yeast

- 171 Given that all sampled yeast mutants are beneficial both in the presence and in the absence of the
- alga and that mutant fitness is correlated between the two conditions, we expected that the sets of
- 173 A- and C-mutants would be genetically indistinguishable. To test this expectation, we sequenced
- the genomes of 181 out of 189 C-mutants, 215 out of 221 A-mutants, as well as 24 ancestral
- isolates as controls (Methods; Figures S13–S16; Data S3). We found 176 large copy-number
- variants (CNVs) across 14 loci in the genomes of A- and C-mutants and none in the ancestral
- isolates. All of these large CNVs are thus likely adaptive (see Supplementary Information for an
- extended discussion), with a typical A- and C-mutant carrying on average 0.39 ± 0.04 and 0.51 ± 0.04 and 0.04 and 0.04 and 0.04 and 0.04 and 0.04 and 0.04 an
- 179 0.04 of these mutations, respectively. 85/176 large CNVs are whole-chromosome aneuploidies.
- 180 Out of 91 remaining ones, 64 are partial losses on Chr IV, with breakpoints concordant with 181 known LTR elements (Data S3). This large number of aneuploidies is consistent with the fact
- 181 known LTR elements (Data S3). This large number of an euploidies is consistent with the fact 182 that they occur in *S. cerevisiae* at a rate of about 10^{-4} per diploid genome per generation⁴⁴ and
- 183 the fact that they can be adaptive in some conditions $^{45-47}$.
- 184 In addition to large CNVs, we discovered 185 small indels and point mutations at 63 loci for
- 185 which mutations are found in A- and C-mutants significantly more often than expected by
- 186 chance (see Methods and Supplementary Information), suggesting that these small mutations are
- also adaptive. A typical A- and C-mutant carries on average 0.35 ± 0.03 and 0.60 ± 0.06 of these
- 188 small adaptive mutations, respectively. Overall, we identified 361 beneficial mutations across 77
- loci in 250 out of 396 adapted mutants, with each A- and C-mutant carrying on average $0.74 \pm$
- 190 0.04 and 1.11 ± 0.07 such mutations, respectively (Table S2, Data S3).
- 191 We quantified the diversity of adaptive mutations carried by A- and C-mutants with the
- 192 probability of genetic parallelism, P_g , which is the probability that two random clones share a
- 193 mutation at the same driver locus (lower P_g values imply higher diversity). We found that P_g is
- 194 slightly higher among A-mutants than among C-mutants ($P_g = 6.0 \pm 0.6\%$ and $8.5 \pm 0.8\%$,
- respectively), although this difference was not statistically significant (P = 0.06, two-sided
- 196 permutation test; see Methods). Nevertheless, there were large differences in the frequency
- distribution of driver mutations among A- and C-mutants (Figures 2C and Extended Data Figure
- 198 4, Data S4; $P = 5 \times 10^{-8}$, two-sided χ^2 -test, $\chi^2 = 160.51$, df = 76), suggesting that the chance for

6

- any given beneficial mutation to rise to a high enough frequency and be sampled varied
- dramatically between A- and C-conditions. The starkest difference was observed for the
- amplification of chromosome XIV (mutation chrXIV-3n): 10% (18/181) of C-mutants carried it
- but none of the 215 A-mutants did (Figures 2C and Extended Data Figure 4), despite chrXIV-3n
- 203 mutations being beneficial in both conditions (Figure 2A and B).
- 204 This initially puzzling observation could be explained by the dynamics of adaptation in
- 205 populations evolving in the clonal interference regime^{42,48,49}. Clonal interference prevents weak
- 206 and/or rare beneficial mutations from reaching even moderately high frequencies 32,42,50,51. Thus,
- 207 the same beneficial mutation can have dramatically different chances of being sampled in the
- two conditions if it is located in different parts of the respective bDFEs. Consistent with this explanation, we find that a typical chrXIV-3n mutant is 2.05 ± 0.04 times more fit per cycle than
- 210 the ancestral wild-type and ranks in the top $11 \pm 1.3\%$ of most fit mutants in the C-condition.
- while being only 1.59 ± 0.03 times more fit than the ancestor and ranking at $53 \pm 5.3\%$ in the A-
- 212 condition (Figure 2A). Other mutations with strong discrepancies in their representation among
- 213 A- and C-mutants show similar shifts in their fitness effects and rank order (Figure 2A, Data S4).
- 214 In general, interactions with the alga shifted the fitness ranks of mutants between conditions by
- 215 $14.1 \pm 0.8\%$ on average. We used simulations to confirm that such differences in fitness effect
- and rank are sufficient to explain the observed genetic differences between A- and C-mutants
- 217 (see Supplementary Information, Extended Data Figure 5).
- 218 The fact that the sets of A- and C-mutants are genetically different implies that the yeast
- 219 populations in these two conditions are about to embark on distinct evolutionary trajectories.
- 220 Indeed, A- and C-mutants primarily represent high-frequency lineages in the respective
- 221 populations. Therefore, the mutations that they carry are more likely to win the clonal
- 222 competition towards fixation in the condition from which they were sampled. Then, the fact that
- A- and C-mutants carry statistically distinct sets of mutations implies that mutations contending
- for fixation in yeast in the A- versus C-conditions are also different. In other words, by altering
- the fitness benefits of mutations, the ecological interactions with the alga change the
- evolutionary trajectory of yeast, at least over the short-term.

Adaptive mutations in yeast have diverse ecological consequences for the community

- A mutation that spreads and fixes in the yeast population could subsequently alter the ecological
- 230 dynamics of the yeast-alga community; and adaptive mutations at different loci may have
- 231 different ecological consequences. For example, some mutations could increase yeast's
- 232 competitive ability and ultimately lead to the exclusion of the alga from the community. Others
- could increase yeast's cooperativity and thereby strengthen the mutualism. To assess the
- prevalence and the magnitude of different ecological effects of adaptive mutations in yeast, we
- selected 28 C-mutants and 31 A-mutants that are representative of the genetic diversity of
- contending mutations (Methods). We formed 59 "mutant communities" by culturing each of
- these yeast mutants with the ancestral strain of the alga. As all A-mutants and C-mutants are
- 238 adaptive in both the A- and C- conditions, we pool all of them to increase our power to identify
- their ecological effects. We quantified the ecological effect of each mutation by measuring YYC
- 240 (yeast yield in community) and AYC (algal yield in community), as well as YYA (yeast yield
- alone; Methods, Figure S18, S19). As before, we define yield as the species density at the end of
- the 5-day growth cycle. We focused on yields for two reasons. Yield is a measure of absolute

- 243 species abundance which determines the robustness of our community to demographic
- fluctuations: communities with higher yields of both species are more ecologically stable³. In
- addition, as discussed above, the ratio of YYC to YYA as well as the ratio of AYC to AYA (alga
- yield alone) inform us about the net balance between competition versus cooperation in our
- communities.
- 248 We found that many of the adaptive mutations significantly affected both YYA and YYC (Figure
- 249 3A, S19A,B). The majority of tested mutations, 53% (31/59), significantly decrease YYA while
- 15% (9/59) of them significantly increase it (two-sided FDR = 28%, see Methods). At the same
- time, we found no mutations that significantly decreased YYC, but 34% (20/59) of them
- significantly increased it (FDR = 26%). Mutations have uncorrelated effects on YYA and YYC
- 253 (Figure 3A), suggesting that yeast yield in the two conditions is determined by different
- underlying traits. Mutations in yeast also significantly alter AYC, with 24% (14/59) of mutations
- increasing it and 8% (5/59) decreasing it (FDR = 32%), with the effects on AYC and YYC being and the effects on AYC and YYC being 256
- 256 positively correlated (Figures 3B and S19C).
- 257 The fact that mutations alter the yields of both species suggests that some of them may also tip
- the balance between cooperation and competition in one or the other direction. Indeed, we found
- that 24% (14/59) of mutants have significantly increased both AYC/AYA and YYC/YYA ratios,

260 39% (23/59) have a significantly increased the YYC/YYA ratio only, and 12% (7/59) have

- significantly decreased one or both ratios (two-tailed FDR = 5%, Figure 3C). Thus, seven
- mutants acquired mutations that weaken the yeast-algal mutualism, at least for one of the
- 263 partners, and 37 mutants acquired mutations that enhance the mutualism.
- 264 These results show that yeast has access to beneficial mutations with ecologically diverse
- consequences and suggest that our community has the potential to embark on a variety of eco-
- 266 evolutionary trajectories with possibly different ecological outcomes.

267 Mutations favored by selection in the presence of the alga 268 strengthen the mutualism

- 269 Given that adaptive mutations in yeast have a variety of ecological consequences and that yeast
- 270 populations in the absence or presence of the alga are likely destined to fix different adaptive
- 271 mutations, we next asked whether mutations that contend for fixation in the A- or C-conditions
- 272 might have systematically different ecological effects.
- 273 We first noticed that the C-mutants clustered in the top right corner of the YYC versus AYC plot
- 274 (Figure 3B). A formal statistical test confirmed that YYC and AYC values for the C-mutant
- communities were on average 103% and 79% higher than those for the A-mutant communities,
- 276 respectively (YYC: 95% CI [62%, 143%], $P = 3 \times 10^{-4}$, permutation test; AYC: 95% CI [35%,
- 277 122%]; $P = 4 \times 10^{-3}$; $n_1 = 28$ C-mutants and $n_2 = 31$ A-mutants). These differences remained large
- and significant even after accounting for the frequencies with which different driver mutations were observed in our yeast populations (YYC: $P = 10^{-5}$; AYC: $P = 3 \times 10^{-3}$, permutation test,
- 279 were observed in our yeast populations (YYC: $P = 10^{-5}$; AYC: $P = 3 \times 10^{-3}$, permutation test, 280 Extended Data Figure 6, see Methods), indicating that this trend is not an accidental byproduct of
- 200 Extended Data Figure 0, see Methods), indicating that this trend is not an accidental byproduct of 281 our choice of A- and C-mutants. Instead, the observed differences in yield must be caused by
- 281 Sur choice of A- and C-initiants. Instead, the observed differences in yield must be caused by 282 systematic genetic differences between the A- and C-initiants. In other words, in the presence of
- the alga, natural selection favors yeast mutants that produce higher yields in the community. This
- 284 conclusion is further corroborated by the fact that both YYC and AYC are correlated strongly

8

and significantly with competitive fitness in the C-condition, but only weakly with fitness in theA-condition (Extended Data Figure 7).

A mutation in yeast that increases YYC may concomitantly lead to either a gain or a loss of

288 YYA (Figure 3A). Therefore, such a mutation may either increase or decrease the net benefit that

- 289 yeast derives from its interactions with the alga over the growth cycle. We found that 86%
- 290 (24/28) of the C-mutants had a significantly higher YYC/YYA ratio than the ancestor (FDR =
- 291 21%), of which many, 32% (9/28), had also a significantly higher AYC/AYA ratio (FDR = 6%;
- Figure 3C) but only 1/28 had a significantly lower AYC/AYA ratio (FDR = 58%). In contrast, a
- smaller fraction, only 52% (16/31), of the A-mutants had a higher YYC/YYA ratio (FDR =
- 35%), of which 16% (5/31) also had a higher AYC/AYA (FDR = 12%) and 2/31 had lower
- AYC/AYA (FDR = 29%). Thus, C-mutants both benefit more often from the presence of the alga and reciprocally more often provide benefits to the alga compared to the A-mutants. In other
- words, adaptive mutations that dominate yeast adaptation in the presence of the alga are more
- 298 likely to make yeast more cooperative and/or less competitive and thereby strengthen the
- mutualism, compared to mutations that dominate adaptation in the absence of the alga.

300 An interesting potential consequence of this shift in selection on yeast precipitated by the

301 presence of the alga is that it can change the repeatability of yeast evolution along the

- 302 competition-mutualism continuum. We quantified such ecological repeatability by the
- 303 probability that two randomly drawn yeast mutants that contend for fixation in a given condition
- 304 both increase or both decrease the YYC/YYA ratio and simultaneously both increase or both
- decrease the AYC/AYA ratio. The probability of ecological parallelism would be 25% under a
- 306 uniform null model. For the A-mutants, this probability is $33 \pm 2.8\%$, indistinguishable from the
- null expectation (P = 0.21, two-sided χ^2 -test, $\chi^2 = 1.55$, df = 1). In contrast, it is 68 ± 5.5% for the
- 308 C-mutants, which is significantly higher than expected ($P = 6 \times 10^{-8}$, $\chi^2 = 29.3$, df = 1) and also
- 309 significantly higher than for the A-mutants (P = 0.031, two-sided permutation test; see Methods).
- 310 Thus, yeast evolves more repeatably (towards stronger mutualism) in the presence of the alga
- 311 than in its absence.

312 In summary, our results show that mutations contending for fixation in yeast populations

- evolving alone have relatively diverse effects on the ecology of the yeast-algal community, with
- 314 some strengthening and some weakening the mutualism. In contrast, mutations contending for
- 315 fixation in yeast evolving in the presence of the alga predominantly lead to higher yields of both
- 316 species, which strengthens the yeast-alga mutualism and makes evolution more repeatable at the
- 317 ecological level.

318 Mutualism enhancement is not selected directly but is likely a

319 byproduct of selection for other yeast life-history traits

320 We next asked how stronger mutualism could possibly evolve in our community. Specifically,

321 does natural selection in the presence of the alga favor mutations that increase cooperativity

- 322 and/or decrease competitiveness in yeast directly or is this bias a byproduct of selection for other
- 323 traits^{25,52}? Natural selection can directly favor rare mutualism-enhancing (i.e., more cooperative
- 324 and/or less competitive) yeast mutants only if such mutants preferentially receive fitness benefits
- from their algal partners, that is, if there is a partner-fidelity feedback⁵³. Our system is well-
- mixed, so that all diffusible benefits are shared by the entire culture, eliminating any potential
- fitness advantage of rare mutualism-enhancing mutants^{25,54}. The only way to prevent such
- diffusion and ensure preferential benefit exchange with an algal partner is for such a mutant to

9

form a physical association with the partner²¹. However, we found no evidence for such

associations in any of the sampled mutants (Extended Data Figure 8; Methods). Given the

absence of a plausible partner-fidelity feedback, the increased cooperativity and/or decreased

competitiveness of the C-mutants must be a byproduct (pleiotropic effect) of selection for one or

333 more other traits.

334 We sought to identify traits under selection in the C-condition that could cause yields to increase.

- Both competitive fitness and yield depend on fundamental physiological and life-history traits
- embodied by yeast and alga, such as their growth rates, mortalities, nutrient consumption
 efficiencies, etc⁵⁵. Since measuring all potentially relevant traits was not feasible in this study,
- 338 we focused on two key traits that are known to be under selection in environments with variable
- nutrient availability. The maximum population growth rate, r, is important for competitive
- 340 fitness when resources are abundant 56,57, a condition that takes place at the beginning of each
- 341 growth cycle in our cultures. The carrying capacity, *K*, is an indicator of nutrient utilization
- 342 efficiency, which is important for competitive fitness when resources are scarce^{56,57}, a condition
- 343 that takes place at the later phase of each growth cycle. We estimate r and K in the A-condition,
- reasoning that these intrinsic traits would be relevant for fitness and yield in both A- and C-
- 345 conditions. We estimate r by regressing the natural logarithm of the yeast cell density against
- 346 time during the initial phase of the growth cycle (Methods). We estimate K as the maximum
- 347 yeast cell density during the growth cycle, which is usually achieved on day 2.
- We estimated *r* and *K* for all 59 sampled mutants (Figures S20, S21; Methods) and found that
- 349 many mutations significantly increased and decreased either one or both traits (Figure 4A). We
- found a negative correlation between the effects of mutations on *r* and *K* (Pearson R = -0.38,
- 351 95% CI: [-0.59, -0.13], two-sided permutation P = 0.004, Figure 4A), indicating a trade-off
- between growth rate and nutrient utilization efficiency, which is often observed in other
- 353 systems^{58–65}. More specifically, we found 16 C-mutants and 4 A-mutants have a significantly
- higher K and a significantly lower r than the ancestor (FDR = 18%), an observation that is rare in
- experimental evolution studies⁶⁰ where selection usually favors higher $r^{55,59,66-69}$. However,
- theory suggests that high-*K*/low-*r* mutations can be favored in the presence of an *r*-*K* trade-off in populations near starvation^{56,57}. We confirmed that 60% (12/20) of our significant high-*K*/low-*r*
- mutants can in fact invade the ancestral yeast population in simulations of a logistic growth
- model (see Supplementary Information and Figure S22). While this model demonstrates the
- 360 plausibility of selection favoring high-*K*/low-*r* mutants, it does not capture all the important
- 361 complexities of our system. Thus, we next explicitly tested whether *r* and *K* are under selection
- in our A- and C-conditions. To this end, we examined the correlation between these traits and
- 363 competitive fitness among all 59 assayed mutants.
- 364 We found that neither *r* nor *K* are significantly correlated with fitness in the A-condition
- 365 (Supplementary Information; Extended Data Figures 9B,10A; Table S4). As a result, the A-
- 366 mutants have r and K values that are indistinguishable from the ancestor (average $\Delta r = 2 \pm 1.9\%$,
- 367 P = 0.27; average $\Delta K = 3 \pm 2.4\%$; P = 0.29; n = 31, permutation test). These observations
- 368 suggest that other traits that we have not measured must be more important for fitness in the A-
- 369 condition than either r or K. In contrast, fitness in the C-condition is positively correlated with K
- 370 (Figure 4B, R = 0.51, 95% CI [0.29, 0.68], $P < 10^{-4}$) and negatively correlated with r (Extended
- 371 Data Figure 9A, Pearson's R = -0.51, 95% CI [-0.68, -0.29], $P < 10^{-4}$), consistent with the
- observed r-K trade-off, and both of these traits together explain 37% of variation in competitive
- 373 fitness in the C-condition. Interestingly, a negative correlation between fitness and *r* persists

- even after controlling for K (Table S4), suggesting that other unmeasured traits must also be
- 375 important for fitness in the C-condition. Regardless, C-mutants reach K values on average 12.7%
- higher than the ancestor ($P = 4 \times 10^{-4}$, permutation test) and 9.5% higher than A-mutants (95% CI
- 377 [2.6%, 16.3%], P = 0.03). A typical C-mutant also has a significantly lower *r* than both the
- 378 ancestor (average $\Delta r = -8.7 \pm 2.3\%$, P = 0.001) and a typical A-mutant ($\Delta r = -11\%$, 95% CI [-
- 17.0%, -5.1%], $P = 7 \times 10^{-4}$). These observations suggest that nutrient efficiency is an important component of fitness in the C-condition, and that yeast high-*K*/low-*r* mutants are favored by
- selection in the presence of the alga.
- 382 We next asked whether higher-*K* mutants achieve higher yields. We might expect a strong
- 383 positive correlation between these quantities because, all else being equal, mutants that reach
- 384 higher density in the middle of the growth cycle due to their higher carrying capacity are more
- 385 likely to maintain higher density at the end of the growth cycle. However, we found no
- 386 correlation between *K* and YYA (Extended Data Figure 10C). This lack of correlation further
- 387 confirms that adaptive mutations that we sampled must affect other unmeasured traits which are
- 388 more important for yield than *K*. In contrast, we found that *K* and YYC were positively
- 389 correlated (Figure 4C), suggesting that higher nutrient efficiency is important for achieving
- 390 higher yields in the community with the alga.
- 391 Our observations suggest a plausible model for how adaptive evolution can favor mutualism
- 392 enhancement in the absence of partner-fidelity feedbacks: ecological interactions with the alga
- intensify selection for yeast mutants that use resources more efficiently (i.e., those that reach
- higher K even at the expense of reduced r); once these mutants spread in the yeast population,
- they support higher yields of both members of the community. Whether mutualistic partners
- 396 generally induce selection for lower r and/or higher K, and whether such selection consistently
- 397 leads to increased yields of both species remains an open question.
- 398 Similar to our analysis of ecological parallelism, we asked whether the presence of the alga alters
- 399 the probability of parallelism at the level of life-history traits, which we define as the probability
- 400 that r would be affected in the same direction in two randomly sampled mutants and that K
- 401 would also be affected in the same direction in these mutants (see Methods). We find that the
- 402 probability of trait parallelism is $30.7 \pm 1.7\%$ for the A-mutants, which is not significantly 403 different from 25% expected under the uniform null model (P = 0.47, two-sided χ^2 -test, $\chi^2 =$
- 405 under the final 25% expected under the uniform hun hoder (T = 0.47, two-sided χ -test, $\chi = 0.53$, df = 1). In contrast, the probability of trait parallelism is $46.3 \pm 4.8\%$ for the C-mutants,
- which significantly exceeds 25% (P = 0.009, $\chi^2 = 6.7$, df = 1), suggesting that evolution in the
- 406 presence of the alga becomes more repeatable not only at the ecological level, as shown in the
- 407 previous section, but also at the level of underlying life-history traits.
- 408 In summary, our results show that interactions with alga shift natural selection on yeast to favor
- 409 mutants that increase K and decrease r, which in turn leads to increasing yields of both species in
- 410 the community. The shift in selection imposed by the alga makes evolution more repeatable both
- 411 at the level of life-history traits and even more so at the ecological level.

412 Discussion

- 413 We characterized early adaptation in the experimental yeast-alga community and made three
- 414 main observations. First, we found that yeast have access to adaptive mutations that are not only
- 415 genetically diverse but also have diverse ecological effects. Second, even though there are no
- 416 measurable fitness trade-offs for yeast between growing alone or with the alga, the presence of

- 417 the alga modifies the fitness benefits provided by many mutations. This shift in selection
- 418 pressures is sufficient to change the set of mutations that contend for fixation in yeast and
- thereby to alter the course of its evolution. Third, mutations that are strongly favored by selection
- 420 in the presence versus absence of the alga have different ecological consequences. Specifically,
- 421 the presence of the alga shifts selection on yeast to favor mutations that enhance the yeast-alga
- 422 mutualism (as measured by the yield of both species at the end of the growth cycle), making
- 423 evolution at the ecological level more repeatable.
- 424 Insofar as our yeast-alga community is representative of other ecological communities, our
- results suggest that (i) organisms have access to a variety of adaptive mutations with diverse
- 426 ecological consequences and (ii) ecological perturbations, such as removal or addition of species,
- 427 can change the fitness effects of many of these mutations, thereby altering future outcomes of
- 428 evolution not only at the genetic but also at the ecological level. As a result, the eco-evolutionary
- 429 dynamics of multi-species communities are likely historically contingent on both prior
 430 evolution⁷⁰ and ecology⁷¹. Thus, we might expect that ecological communities would generically
- 431 have the potential to embark on a variety of divergent eco-evolutionary trajectories and approach
- 432 different ecological attractors. For example, mutations that are beneficial to yeast in our
- 433 community can either increase or decrease the yields of both species suggesting that our
- 434 community has the potential to evolve either towards stronger mutualism or towards mutualism
- 435 breakdown, with probabilities of these outcomes being dependent on whether yeast previously
- 436 evolved in the presence or absence of the alga.
- 437 Given this potential for ecological and evolutionary historical contingency, one might expect *a*
- 438 *priori* that replicate communities would often diverge towards different ecological states.
- 439 However, recent laboratory studies have found that replicate communities tend to evolve towards
- similar ecological states with notable repeatability^{13,15,16,20,21,24,25,72–76}. Our results show that,
- 441 while yeast has access to a set of adaptive mutations that are quite diverse in terms of their 442 apple size of the second set of the
- 442 ecological effects, natural selection acting on yeast growing in the community strongly favors a443 biased subset of these mutations, namely those that produce higher yields of both yeast and alga.
- 444 When viewed in the context of these prior observations, our findings suggest that ecological
- interactions may limit the space of the most likely evolutionary trajectories. In our system in
- 446 particular, the presence of the alga modifies the effects of mutations in yeast in such a way that
- 447 yeast evolution becomes more repeatable at the ecological level, at least over the short-term. In
- 448 other words, ecological interactions may canalize evolution. Whether such canalization is a
- 449 general feature of evolution in a community context remains to be determined.
- 450 In our competitive mutualistic community, canalization appears to occur in the direction of 451 enhanced mutualism in the sense that the presence of the alga shifts selection on yeast in favor of
- 4.51 emanced mutualism in the sense that the presence of the alga shifts selection on yeast in favor o
 452 mutations that benefit both species. There are no demonstrated mechanisms that would favor
- 453 such enhanced mutualism in our community directly, but our results suggest another plausible
- 454 scenario for how it can evolve. Mutations in yeast favored in the presence of the alga tend to
- 455 increase yeast's carrying capacity in our medium and reduce its growth rate. Increased carrying
- 456 capacity could provide the competitive advantage necessary for such mutants to spread. Once
- 457 these mutations dominate, increased K and/or decreased r could enhance cooperation or reduce
- 458 competition with the alga. Specifically, increased K implies that there are more yeast cells to
- 459 generate CO₂, which stimulates algal growth. Reduction in r could also benefit the alga via the 460 "competitive restraint" mechanism⁷⁷ in which slower growing yeast compete less for the initial
- 461 supply of ammonium and thereby offer the alga an opportunity to grow more and supply more

ammonium during the latter portions of the growth cycle. However, competition for the initial

- ammonium can probably not be reduced to zero solely by mutations in yeast because yeast lacks
- the molecular machinery for metabolizing the only other nitrogen source, nitrite. Therefore, a
- single mutation or even a few mutations cannot alleviate yeast's basic requirement for
- 466 ammonium. Furthemore, traits other than r and K most certainly contribute to both fitness and
- 467 yield. Thus, additional experiments will be needed to determine how adaptive mutations in yeast
- 468 modify the competitive and cooperative phases of the growth cycle to provide an evolutionary
- advantage and increase the yields of both species.
- 470 How the presence of the alga amplifies the fitness advantage of high-*K* mutants is currently
- 471 unclear. An analysis of the genetic and biochemical basis of yeast adaptation may help us answer
- this question and assess how general the ecological mechanisms of mutualism enhancement
- 473 might be. However, one challenge is that many mutations driving adaptation in yeast are large
- 474 chromosomal amplifications and deletions, and it is unclear which amplified/deleted genes
 475 actually cause the fitness gains and changes in the ecologically relevant traits. At this point, we
- 475 actually cause the fitness gains and changes in the ecologically relevant traits. At this point, we 476 can only speculate on this subject. For example, it is known that ChrXIV-3n amplifications are
- 477 adaptive under ammonium limitation, possibly driven by the copy number of the gene *MEP2* that
- 478 encodes a high affinity ammonium transporter⁷⁸. We suspect that these adaptations are
- 479 particularly beneficial to yeast in the C-condition because the alga provides a continuous but low
- flux of ammonia. Another interesting example are mutations in genes *HEM1*, *HEM2* and *HEM3*,
- 481 which provide much larger fitness benefits in the C-condition compared to the A-condition (Data
- 482 S4) possibly because they shift the metabolic balance towards fermentation at higher
- 483 concentrations of dissolved oxygen produced by the alga (see Supplementary Information).
- 484 Elucidating these and other mechanisms of physiological adaptation in our competitive
- 485 mutualistic systems is the subject of future work.
- 486 To conclude, our results suggest that microbial adaptation in the community context is driven by
- 487 many mutations that are genetically and phenotypically diverse and have diverse ecological
- 488 consequences. Changes in the ecological milieu, such as loss of some species or invasions by
- 489 others, may not necessarily alter which mutations are beneficial to community members.
- 490 Nevertheless, such ecological changes can quantitatively alter the benefits of mutations, so that
- 491 evolutionary trajectories become canalized towards certain ecological outcomes.

13

492 Methods

493 Barcode lineage tracking (BLT) experiment and data analysis

- 494 Strains. We used the strain CC1690 of the alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, which can also be
- 495 obtained from the Chlamydomonas Resource Center. The barcoded library of the diploid yeast
- 496 Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain GSY6699⁴⁰ was kindly provided by Prof. Gavin Sherlock. This
- 497 is a diploid, prototrophic strain derived from the BY genetic background, homozygous
- throughout the genome, except for locus YBR209W, where one copy of a DNA barcode was 137 Oran statistical library in the formula 100 integrate 137 Oran statistical library in the formula 100 matrix 100
- 499 integrated³⁷. Our starting library consists of about 5×10^5 clones, each of which carries a unique 500 DNA hereade at this losses. In principle, the summer of all along a limit of a line is a line of the summer of all along a line of the summer of of the summe
- 500 DNA barcode at this locus. In principle, the genomes of all clones should be identical 501 everywhere else prior to our barcode lineage tracking (BLT) experiment. However, as discussed
- 501 in Supplementary Information (Sections 1.3 and 3), we found that our initial population already
- 503 contains some pre-existing polymorphisms, which arose prior to our BLT experiment.
- 504 **Growth conditions.** Both yeast monocultures and yeast-alga communities were cultured in a
- defined minimal medium³³ ("CYM medium") supplemented with 2% dextrose, 10mM KNO₂ and
- 506 0.5mM NH₄Cl, which we thereafter refer to as the "growth medium". All cultures were grown in
- 507 10mL of the growth medium in 50mL flasks (FisherSci #FS2650050) capped with 50mL plastic
- 508 beakers (VWR #414004-145) at room temperature (21°C) on a platform shaker with 70 foot-
- 509 candles of constant light (three Feit Electric #73985 suspended approximately 24 inches above
- 510 the platform shaker) shaking at 125 RPM, unless noted otherwise.
- 511 BLT pre-cultures. Prior to the BLT experiment, yeast and alga were pre-cultured in 50mL of
- 512 growth medium in 250mL delong baffled flasks (PYREX #C4446250) for two and 10 days
- 513 respectively. Alga pre-cultures were started from colonies. To start yeast pre-cultures, the
- 514 barcoded yeast library was thawed from frozen stock at room temperature, then 500μ L were
- 515 transferred into 50mL of the growth medium.
- 516 **BLT initiation and propagation.** We conducted five replicate BLT experiments for each of two
- 517 treatments, yeast monoculture (the A-condition) and yeast + algae community (the C-condition).
- 518 Each monoculture BLT experiment was initiated from 100μ of the yeast pre-culture. Each
- 519 community BLT experiment was initiated from 100μ L of the 1:1 (v/v) yeast and alga mixture. 520 Cultures were grown for 5 days before being diluted 1:100 for the next growth cycle (100 μ L into
- 520 Cultures were grown for 5 days before being diluted 1:100 for the next growth cycle (100μL into 521 10mL fresh media). A total of 17 growth/dilution cycles were completed. A detailed discussion
- 522 on the number of generations per growth cycle is provided in Supplementary Information
- 523 (Section 1.1). Throughout this work, we ignore adaptation in the alga, as discussed in
- 525 (Section 1.1). Throughout this work, we ignore adaptation in the alga, as di 524 Supplementary Information (Section 1.2).
- 525 **Culture preservation.** Glycerol stocks were taken of the yeast pre-culture and yeast + algae
- 526 inoculum mixture, as well as at the end of every odd growth cycle. Separate stocks were stored
- 527 for DNA extraction and cell isolation purposes with two replicates each, for a total of 4 stocks
- 528 per culture per time point. Cell isolation stocks were created by aliquoting 1.5mL of culture into
- 529 500μ L of 80% glycerol, mixing by vortex and storing at -80° C. DNA stocks were created by
- removing the supernatant of the remaining 7mL of culture via centrifugation and resuspending in
- 531 2mL of 20% glycerol (80% glycerol diluted with 1x PBS), which was then stored as two separate
- 532 1mL stocks at -80° C.

14

533 DNA isolation. DNA stocks were thawed and DNA isolated using a "salting out" method, based
 534 on established protocols^{79,80}. The thawed stocks were first centrifuged, and the supernatant

- removed. The pellet was resuspended in 300µL 3% SET buffer (3% SDS, 10mM EDTA, 30mM
- 536 Tris) and incubated at 65°C for 15 minutes. The tube was cooled to room temperature by
- 537 immersing it in room temperature water, then 2.5µg of RNAse A was added. After vortexing, the
- 538 mixture was incubated at 37°C for 1 hour, after which it was cooled on ice. 150µL of 3M Sodium
- 539 Acetate was then added and mixed by inversion, after which it was cooled on ice for a further 5
- 540 minutes before centrifuging at maximum speed for 10 minutes on a tabletop centrifuge. The
- 541 supernatant was transferred to a new tube and DNA was precipitated by the addition of 500µL
- 542 isopropanol, which was mixed by inversion and then pelleted by centrifugation for 1 minute at
- 543 maximum speed. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was washed with 200μ L cold 70%
- 544 ethanol without vortexing before being allowed to dry inverted for 30 minutes at room 545 temperature before the DNA was resuspended in 50µL molecular biology grade water.

546 Sequencing library preparation. The barcode locus was amplified through a 2-step PCR 547 protocol slightly modified from Ref. ³⁸. The first amplification added inline indices for sample 548 multiplexing, universal molecular identifiers for removing PCR duplicates during analysis and 549 Illumina-compatible adapter sequences for a second round of amplification with standard 550 Illumina Nextera XT primers. For the first reaction, 10µL of template was mixed with 25µL of

- 550 Intumina Nextera X1 primers. For the first reaction, 10μ L of template was inixed with 25 μ L of 551 OneTaq 2x Master Mix, 1μ L of 25mM MgCl₂, 1μ L each of the forward and reverse primers (at
- 10 m concentration) and 12μ L of molecular biology grade water. Primer sequences are as
- described³⁸. This mixture was amplified using the following conditions: (1) 94°C for 10 min; (2)
- 554 94°C for 3 min; (3) 55°C for 1 min; (4) 68°C for 1 min; (5) Repeat steps 2–4 for a total of 8
- 555 cycles; (6) 68°C for 1 min; (7) Hold at 4°C. The amplified product was purified using Ampure
- 556 XP magnetic beads using established protocols (with 50μ L of beads used per sample). Then,
- 10μ L of the purified product was used as the template for a second reaction along with Illumina
- 558 Nextera XT primers (1 μ L of 10mM stock for each primer), 1 μ L of 25mM MgCl₂, 25 μ L of
- 559 OneTaq 2x Master Mix and 12μ L of water with the following reaction conditions: (1) 94°C for 5 560 min; (2) 94°C for 30 sec; (3) 62°C for 30 sec; (4) 68°C for 30 sec; (5) Repeat steps 2–4 for a
- 560 min; (2) 94°C for 30 sec; (3) 62°C for 30 sec; (4) 68°C for 30 sec; (5) Repeat steps 2–4 for a 561 total of 25 cycles; (6) 68°C for 5 min; (7) Hold at 4°C. The PCR products were purified using
- 562 Ampure XP beads as before. 5µL of each sample was mixed to form a pool for Illumina
- 563 sequencing, concentrated using Ampure beads as before with equal volume of beads as a pooled
- sample and size-selected via agarose gel extraction to isolate the correct amplicon before
- 565 submitting for sequencing.
- 566 Sequencing. Populations A1 and C1 were initially sequenced on a MiSeq platform. All
- 567 populations (including A1 and C1) were then also sequenced on a HiSeq platform. Data from
- 568 both runs were combined for all downstream analysis of frequency trajectories. We obtained an
- 569 average of 2.6 million paired-end reads per time point.
- 570 To identify and count DNA barcodes, we used a custom python pipeline BarcodeCounter2
- 571 available at <u>https://github.com/sandeepvenkataram/BarcodeCounter2.</u> The package first uses the
- 572 BLASTn tool to identify sequences known to flank the barcode region within each read pair. If
- 573 reads contain inline indices, samples can be demultiplexed. Universal molecular identifier (UMI)
- 574 sequences can be extracted if present within the reads. If a sequence contains multiple barcode
- 575 regions, these extracted regions are concatenated together. To account for sequencing errors,
- 576 DNAClust⁸¹ is then used to cluster the concatenated barcodes into clusters of nearly identical
- 577 sequences which presumably originated from the same DNA molecule. The output of DNAClust

- 578 is a FASTA database of all unique barcode sequences present in the library. Then, BWA⁸² is
- 579 used to map the barcode sequences from each sample onto the clustered FASTA database.
- 580 Mapping of reads is necessary because the clustering process removes identifying information
- associating barcode sequences with samples from which they came. PCR duplicates are removed
- based on the UMI sequences, and the total number of unique reads corresponding to every
- barcode in the FASTA database is counted. The final output is a tab-delimited table of the read
- 584 counts for every barcode in every sample. The software is designed to be user-friendly and
- highly customizable, with simple text files describing the input files, multiplexed samples and a
- 586 sequence template describing the structure of the sequenced reads. The package is built using
- 587 python3, and uses the popular BioPython package. The package has multithreading support, and
- 588 can be run on both personal computers and supercomputing clusters.
- 589 When generating the database of all unique barcode sequences, we clustered sequences at 95%
- similarity, so that, given that the length of our barcode is 52bp, sequences with 3 or more base-
- pair differences were merged into the same cluster. This set of clustered sequences was
- 592 generated only once using all of the time points from all sequenced populations.
- We developed an iterative heuristic procedure to identify adaptive lineages from lineage trackingdata and estimate their fitness.
- **1. Initialization.** Neutral barcodes for iteration 1 are identified separately for every pair of consecutive time points. For a given time point pair, we define the set of neutral lineages at iteration 1 as those lineages whose frequency (a) does not exceed 10^{-4} at the earlier time point construction of the point pair.
- and (b) increases by less than 100-fold between cycle 1 and cycle 11.
- **2. Estimation of mean fitness.** Given the set of neutral lineages at iteration k-1, we obtain their
- total frequency $x_{\text{neut}}^{(k-1)}(t)$ at each cycle *t*. The frequency of neutral lineages is governed by equation $x_{\text{neut}}(t+1) = x_{\text{neut}}(t)e^{-\bar{s}(t)}$.
- 602 where $\bar{s}(t)$ is the mean selection coefficient (per cycle) of the population at cycle *t*. Thus, we
- 603 estimate the mean selection coefficient of the population at cycle t at iteration k as
- 604 $\bar{s}^{(k)}(t) = -\log \frac{x_{\text{neut}}^{(k-1)}(t+1)}{x_{\text{neut}}^{(k-1)}(t)}.$
- 605 The mean fitness at cycle t is then defined as $1 + \bar{s}^{(k)}(t)$.
- 606 **3. Estimation of fitness of individual lineages.** The frequency $x_i(t)$ of lineage *i* with selection 607 coefficient s_i (per cycle) relative to the ancestor is governed by the equation $x_i(t + 1) =$
- 608 $x_i(t)e^{s_i-\bar{s}(t)} = x_i(t)\frac{x_{\text{neut}}(t+1)}{x_{\text{neut}}(t)}e^{s_i}$. Thus, for every lineage *i* (including those that were called as
- 609 neutral at the previous iteration) we estimate $s_i^{(k)}(t)$ at iteration k at time point t as

610
$$s_i^{(k)}(t) = \log \frac{x_i(t+1)}{x_{\text{neut}}^{(k-1)}(t+1)} - \log \frac{x_i(t)}{x_{\text{neut}}^{(k-1)}(t)},$$

- 611 where $x_i(t)$ is the observed frequency of lineage *i* at cycle *t*. These estimates are made for every
- 612 pair of consecutive time points between cycles 1 and 11. If $x_i(t) = 0$ and $x_i(t+1) = 0$, the time
- 613 point pair is excluded from the calculations. If only one of the two frequencies is 0, then this
- 614 frequency is set to 0.5 / total read depth. To obtain the final estimate of the selection coefficient
- 615 $s_i^{(k)}$ for lineage *i* at iteration *k*, we average all $s_i^{(k)}(t)$, weighting each $s_i^{(k)}(t)$ by the number of reads

16

- 616 for lineage *i* at time *t*. We calculate the standard error $\sigma_i^{(k)}$ with the same weighting. The relative 617 fitness of lineage *i* is then defined as $1 + s_i^{(k)}$.
- 618 **4. Calling adapted lineages.** Lineage *i* is called adapted at iteration *k* if $s_i^{(k)} > 2\sigma_i^{(k)}$.
- 619 5. Updating the set of neutral lineages. We calculate the coefficient of variation (CV) for each
- 620 lineage *i* as $\sigma_i^{(k)}/s_i^{(k)}$. We include any lineage *i* into the new set of neutral lineages at iteration *k*
- 621 if (a) its maximum frequency does not exceed 10^{-4} between cycles 1 and 11, and (b) either the
- 622 CV of the lineage exceeds the median CV across all lineages or if $s_i^{(k)} < 0$ (see Figure S1A).
- 623 **6. Termination.** The procedure is terminated when the set of neutral lineages at iteration k624 differs by less than 5% compared to the set at iteration k - 1.
- 625 Our procedure converges to a stable set of neutral lineages within 5 iterations. We have carried
- 626 out sensitivity analyses of our procedure with respect to the choice of various parameters, as well
- as other sanity checks, as described in the Supplementary Information (Section 1.3). Note that
- 628 we report fitness values relative to the ancestral strain on a per-cycle basis, rather than the per-
- 629 generation basis typically used in the literature because our cultures experience growth phases
- 630 other than exponential growth^{38,83} (Figure 1, Supplementary Information (Section 1.1)).
- 631 **Permutation tests for differences in bDFE across conditions.** We randomly relabel adapted
- 632 lineages as being from either the A- or C-condition, and calculate the difference in bDFE median
- and IQR from this permuted data. This procedure was conducted 10,000 times, to generate a
- 634 random distribution of median and IQR difference values.

635 Competitive fitness assays

- 636 We selected cycle 9 to isolate adapted mutants because the estimated fraction of adapted lineages
- 637 in our evolving populations was large, but each adapted lineage was still at a low frequency
- 638 (Extended Data Figures 2 and 3). Specifically, 92% of a typical population in the A-condition
- 639 consisted of adapted lineages, with the median frequency of an individual adapted lineage being
- 640 9×10^{-5} (~ 9 cells per lineage at the bottleneck), and 73% of a typical population in the C-
- 641 condition consisted of adapted lineages, with the median frequency of an individual adapted
- 642 lineage being 7×10^{-5} (~ 7 cells per lineage at the bottleneck).
- 643 **Isolation of random clones.** To isolate adapted clones, frozen stocks of the monoculture and
- 644 community populations from cycle 9 were thawed, plated onto standard 100mm Petri dishes with 645 CYM + 1% agarose at a dilution of approximately 100 cells per dish, and incubated at 30° C for
- three days (algae do not grow at 30° C). 88 random colonies were isolated from each population,
- 647 i.e., a total of 440 clones from the A- and C-condition each. Eight additional clones from each
- 648 population were harvested at cycle 17 and are present in the pools described below, but they are
- not included in any of the analyses presented in this study. Each colony was transferred into a
- 650 well of a 96-well plate (Corning 3370) with 200μL of CYM media and incubated for two days at
- 651 30°C. 10μLwas used for each of the "population", "row" and "column" pools described below.
- 652 Then, 50μ L of 80% glycerol was added to each well, and the plate was stored at -70° C.
- 653 **Barcode genotyping.** The DNA barcodes of all isolated clones were identified by sequencing,
- 654 using the Sudoku method^{84,85}. Specifically, 10μ L of each clone was pooled into 10 "population"
- pools (one pool for each source population), eight "row" pools and 12 "column" pools. DNA
- barcodes in each pool were amplified and sequenced as described above We expect that a given

- 657 combination of row, column and population pools would have a single barcode in common,
- defining the isolate in the corresponding well of the appropriate plate. We determined all
- barcodes present in the intersection of each combinations of row, column population pool. If a
- 660 single barcode is identified in the intersection, the corresponding well is assigned that barcode
- 661 identity. If multiple barcodes or no barcode are identified, the associated clone is removed from
- 662 further analysis.
- 663 Generation of A, C and N pools. We used the heuristic procedure described above to classify
- clones with identified barcodes into three groups described below: non-adapted, adapted in the
 C-condition or adapted in the A-condition. Clones were pooled into three libraries defined by
- 666 their membership in these three groups as follows. To construct each pool, we transferred 20µL
- 667 of thawed frozen stock of each isolate into a 2mL 96-deep-well plate (Corning P-2ML-SQ-C)
- 668 filled with 1.8mL of growth media supplemented to 10mM ammonia. After incubating these
- 669 plates at 30°C for three days, we formed each pool by combining 200µL of individual saturated
- 670 cultures. Three pools were stored in 20% glycerol at -70° C.
- 671 For the sake of efficiency, clone pooling was based on an earlier version of the heuristic
- 672 procedure used for the classification of lineages. The current version of the procedure (as
- 673 described above) classifies lineages slightly differently. As a result, pools do not perfectly
- 674 correspond to the classification of clones according to the current heuristic procedure, which is
- 675 provided below. This minor discrepancy has no bearing on our results because the final
- 676 classification of clones is based on fitness estimates from the competition assays (see below).
- 677 The *A pool* contains 214 clones that are classified as adapted in A populations. The *C pool*
- 678 contains 223 clones that are classified as adapted in C populations. The *N pool* contains 144
- clones, 84 of which are classified as neutral from the BLT analysis (i.e., not adapted in either A
- or C populations), 29 clones that are classified as adapted in the A-condition and 31 clones that
- are classified as adapted in the C-condition. Thus, we measured competitive fitness for a total of
- 682 581 clones.
- 683 **Competition assay experiment.** To conduct the competitive fitness assays, we pre-cultured each
- 684 of the three pools (N, A and C; see above) separately in the growth media for two days. We also 685 pre-cultured algae for 10 days, starting from colonies. We then combined A, C and N pools in
- the 1:1:18 ratio. We carried out three replicate competitions in the A-condition and three
- replicate competitions in the C-condition. To this end, we inoculated each of the six replicates
- 688 with 100µL of the combined A/C/N pool. In addition, the three C-condition replicates were
- 689 inoculated with 100µL of the algae preculture (~ 10^6 cells / mL).
- 690 All replicates were propagated in conditions identical to the BLT experiment for a total of five
- 691 growth cycles. Glycerol stocks were made at the end of each growth cycle after the dilution step
- by centrifuging the full culture volume, removing the spent media and resuspending the pellet in
- 693 2mL of 20% glycerol + PBS. Two 1mL aliquots of this glycerol suspension were stored at
- -70° C. One of these aliquots was harvested for DNA extraction and barcode sequencing using
- 695 protocols described in the section on the heuristic BLT analysis procedure.
- 696 **Competition assay data analysis.** Barcodes were identified and counted as described above.
- 697 The resulting barcode count data were analyzed as described previously³⁸ using software
- 698 available at <u>https://github.com/barcoding-bfa/fitness-assay-python.</u> Briefly, the 84 non-adapted
- barcodes (as defined from the BLT analysis described above) from the N pool were used to
- r00 estimate the mean fitness trajectories and the additive and multiplicative noise parameters for

each pair of time points in each assay³⁸. These estimates were used to estimate the fitness of every lineage for each pair of neighboring time points along with the error in the estimate. The variance of an estimate for a given pair of time points was calculated as the inverse of the read depth at the earlier of the two timepoints + the estimated multiplicative noise parameter. Inverse variance weighting was then used to combine estimates across all time point pairs to generate a single fitness and error estimate for each lineage in each replicate. Replicate estimates were

- 707 combined using further inverse variance weighting to generate the final fitness estimate for each
- isolate in the A- and C-conditions. For each mutant in each condition, we also calculated the
- 709 95% confidence interval around the fitness estimate based on the variability in fitness
- measurements between replicates (assuming that measurement errors are distributed normally).
 Fitness estimates are provided on a per growth cycle basis, as discussed above. Validation of this
- analysis procedure and additional statistics are described in Supplementary Information (Section
 2)
- 713 2).

714 Genome sequencing and analysis

715 We sequenced full genomes of 219 A-mutants, 187 C-mutants, 8 non-adapted evolved isolates

and 24 ancestral isolates sampled from the inoculum population. Sequencing failed for four A-

717 mutant and six C-mutants, leaving us with 215 A-mutants and 181 C-mutants with sequenced

genomes, 8 non-adapted evolved isolates and 24 ancestral isolates (a total of 428 clones). For all

remaining clones, we obtained high quality genome data (> 4x coverage, mean coverage of 24x).

720 DNA extraction and library preparation and sequencing. DNA was extracted using the YeaStar

721 yeast genomic DNA extraction kit Protocol I (Zymo research #D2002) with in-house produced

YD Digestion buffer (1% SDS + 50mM Na₂PO₄), DNA Wash buffer (80% ethanol + 20mM

NaCl) and Elution Buffer (10mM Tris-HCl). 0.2µL of 25mg/mL RNAse A (Zymo research

#E1008-8) was used for each sample, as well as 1 Unit (0.2µL of 5U/µL stock solution) of

725 Zymolyase (Zymo research #E1004). Libraries were prepared using the method described by

Baym et al⁸⁶ and sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq4000 platform. Sequencing services were

727 provided by Novogene Inc. and the UCSD Institute for Genomic Medicine.

728 Small Variant calling. Reads were first trimmed using Trimmomatic with parameters

729 "HEADCROP:10", "ILLUMINACLIP:NexteraPE-PE.fa:2:30:10", "LEADING:3",

730 "TRAILING:3", "SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15" and "MINLEN:36". Reads were then mapped with

bowtie2 (v. 2.3.4.3) using -sensitive parameters to the Saccharomyces cerevisiae reference

genome (v. R64-2-1) with the addition of an extra "chromosome" defining the barcode locus.

733 Reads were sorted, duplicates marked and short variants were called and filtered using GATK (v.

4.0.11.0) AddOrReplaceReadGroups, MarkDuplicates, HaplotypeCaller and VariantFiltration,

respectively. Variant filtration used the filter expression "QD<10.0 \parallel FS>20.0 \parallel MQ<20.0 \parallel

AN>10 \parallel AF<0.25 \parallel QUAL<100.0 \parallel DP<3" All other commands used default parameters.

737 Variants were annotated with ENSEMBL Variant Effect Predictor using their command-line

- tool. As many variants had multiple possible annotations, coding sequence annotations
 ("missense variant", "frameshift variant", "stop gained" and "stop lost") were prioritized over
- synonymous annotations, which were prioritized over upstream noncoding annotations (within

240 Synonymous annotations, which were phontized over upstream noncoding annotations (within 2kb of a gene) and finally downstream noncoding annotations (again within 2kb of a gene).

741 2kb of a gene) and many downstream honcooling annotations (again within 2kb of a gene). 742 Variants further of 2kb of any gene or those within 2kb but with no annotations were removed as

742 likely nonfunctional. Finally, variants with less than 3 reads of support for the derived allele

744 were removed as putative false positives.

745 Additional filtering to remove erroneous and ancestral variants. The procedure described

- above identified 34,720 small variants across 428 sequenced isolates. We expect that many of
- these variants are sequencing and/or mapping errors that our procedure failed to remove, as well
- as fixed differences from the reference genome present in the ancestor of our experiment. To
- further filter out such spurious variants, we estimate the ancestral allele frequency spectrum from
- 750 24 sequenced ancestral clones and compare it with a typical allele frequency spectrum of 24
- adapted mutants (averaged over 1000 random draws of 24 adapted mutants). As Figure S15
 shows, the latter has an excess of variants that are present only in one clone, as expected for de
- novo mutations. The fact that there is no excess of mutations present in two or more adapted
- clones suggests that all or most mutations observed in two or more adapted clones are not
- 755 adaptive. After removing 303 such variants, each of which was detected in 110 isolates on
- average, we are left with 1842 mutations across 428 sequenced strains (4.30 per clone), of which
- 757 33 strains carry no detectable derived small variants (Table S2 and Data S3).
- 758 **Copy number variant calling.** To identify large copy number variants (CNVs), we generate
- coverage plots for each sequenced clone by averaging read depth into 1kb windows with
- bedtools genomecov. An example plot is shown in Figure S13A. As coverage negatively
- correlates with the distance to telomeres (Figure S14), we re-calculate coverage after correcting
- for this variation (Figure S13B). We then manually identify CNVs from these corrected coverage
- plots by visualizing the coverage distribution at higher resolution (Figure S13C).
- We identified 176 CNVs across 167 strains (Data S3), of which 85 are whole-chromosome
- aneuploidies. We found no CNVs in 32 sequenced ancestral and neutral isolates (Figure S16C,
- Table S2), we estimate the frequency of observing a non-adaptive CNV event as at most 1/32.
- Thus, we expect at most 12.4 such events among 396 sequenced adaptive clones. In fact, we
- found 176 CNV events, which suggests that all or almost all of them are adaptive (expected FDR
- 769 ≤ 0.07 ; Data S4).
- 770 Identification of driver loci carrying small adaptive mutations. To differentiate adaptive
- 771 "driver" mutations among residual ancestral and erroneous variants as well as nonadaptive
- ⁷⁷² "passenger" mutations, we rely on the idea of genetic parallelism, i.e., the fact that loci under
- 573 selection gain mutations in independent lineages more often than expected by chance^{87,88}. For
- each gene, we define its multiplicity as the number of clones that carry a mutation in this gene.
- Since shorter genes require lower multiplicity to be called adaptive, we bin genes by their length into six 1kb bins plus one bin for genes with length \geq 6kb. For each length bin l = 1, 2, ..., 7, we
- count the number of genes whose multiplicity is $m = 1, 2, ..., denoting these counts by <math>k_{lm}$. We
- obtain the number of such genes $\langle k_{lm} \rangle$ expected in the absence of selection as follows.
- We randomly and independently redistribute N = 1718 mutations (small mutations in adaptive
- rependently redistribute in a finite initiations (small initiations in adaptive removing multiple mutations in the same gene in the same clone) across 7226 yeast
- genes 1000 times, with the probability for each mutation landing in a given gene being
- 782 proportional to its length + 2kb. Then, the observed excess number of mutations with
- multiplicity m in length bin l is $a_{lm} = \max\{0, k_{lm} \langle k_{lm} \rangle\}$. These excess mutations are
- assumed to be adaptive. We redistribute the remaining $[N \sum_{l=1}^{7} \sum_{m} a_{lm}]$ potentially non-
- adaptive mutations as before, and identify additional excess mutations as adaptive. We repeat
- this procedure iteratively until the total number of excess mutations is ≤ 1 . We achieve
- 787 convergence after 8 iterations and thereby obtain the final expected counts $\langle k_{lm} \rangle$.

20

Next, we would like to identify specific loci that carry adaptive mutations as those with

multiplicities above some threshold. Specifically, we would like to determine multiplicity

thresholds M_l for each length bin l = 1,...,7, so that all loci in that bin with multiplicities $\ge M_l$ are

called adaptive. To do so, for all *l* we calculate the expected FDR at the given multiplicity

792 threshold M_l as

793
$$\beta(M_l) = \frac{\sum_{m \ge M_l} (k_{lm})}{\sum_{m' \ge M_l} k_{lm'}}$$

We choose the multiplicity thresholds M_l , so that $\beta(M_l) \le \beta^*$ for all *l* and for some desired β^* . We use $\beta^* = 10\%$. We estimate the overall FDR as

796
$$\beta(M_l) = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{7} \sum_{m \ge M_l} \langle k_{lm} \rangle}{\sum_{l'=1}^{7} \sum_{m' \ge M_l} \langle k_{l'm'} \rangle}$$

797 We conduct this analysis considering all 396 sequenced adaptive isolates together, as well as A-

and C-mutants separately. Loci identified in any one of these three analyses are defined as

putative adaptive loci. After identifying putative 63 adaptive loci this way, we assume that all

discovered 185 mutations at these loci are adaptive (Data S4). An extended discussion of our

analysis of adaptive mutations can be found in the Supplementary Information (Section 3).

802 **Probability of genetic parallelism.** We calculate the probability of genetic parallelism P_g for a 803 set of mutants as follows. We consider every pair of mutants, and calculate the proportion that

have a mutation in at least one common adaptive locus (including both small variants and

805 CNVs). Adaptive isolates with no mutations at the identified adaptive loci are assumed to have

an adaptive mutation at a locus that is not shared with any other isolate in the set. To test whether

807 A- and C-mutants have different probabilities of genetic parallelism, we reshuffle the evolution

treatment label (i.e. Alone vs Community) across all A- and C-mutants and calculate $P_{\rm g}$ for both

- resulting groups. We obtain the absolute value of the difference in P_g between the two groups,
- 810 $|\Delta P_{\rm g}|$, in 1000 such permutations, and estimate the *P*-value as the fraction of permutations where
- 811 $|\Delta P_{\rm g}|$ exceeds the observed difference.

812 Phenotyping

813 For all phenotypic measurements, replicate measurements were conducted using distinct

samples. In no case was a single sample measured repeatedly.

815 **Measurement of ancestral yeast and algae growth.** Yeast and algae were thawed from frozen 816 stocks (-80°C for yeast, LN2 storage for algae) and grown separately in standard growth

817 conditions for one growth cycle. Communities were inoculated by mixing 100 μL of yeast with

818 10⁴ cells of algae into 10 mL of our standard growth culture and propagated for 1 growth cycle

- via 100-fold dilution. On the second growth cycle, each culture (yeast alone, algae alone and the
- 820 community, with six replicates each) was characterized daily by CFU counting for the yeast, and
- 821 chlorophyll fluorescence measurements for the alga. Each culture was plated onto CYM media
- plates (1% agarose for) and were incubated at 30°C. Colonies were counted to estimate the
- 823 density of yeast in each culture at each timepoint. We estimate alga density by measuring
- 824 chlorophyll b fluorescence. To that end, we transfer 200μ L of each culture into a well of a black-
- 825 wall clear-bottom 96-well plate (Corning) and measure fluorescence in a plate reader (Molecular 826 Devices Spectrometrical et 425 pm and absorbation at 670 pm). Chlorenbull
- 826 Devices Spectramax i3x, excitation at 435nm and observation at 670nm). Chlorophyll

- fluorescence intensity measurements were converted into cell density estimates by using acalibration curve as described in the Supplementary Information (Section 5.1).
- 829 Selection of A- and C-mutants for phenotyping experiments. We selected 31 C-mutants and
- 830 28 A-mutants to cover a diversity of mutations and fitness values represented among all sampled
- adaptive mutants (see Data S4 for the number of mutants selected from each mutation class). Our
- reasoning for this non-random sampling was that mutants carrying a driver mutation at the same
- 833 locus would have similar phenotypic values. If we selected clones for phenotyping randomly, we
- 834 would have likely not observed more rare phenotypes. To account for this over-dispersion in the
- selection of mutants we apply the mutation weighting procedure described below.
- 836 Measurement of YYA. To estimate YYA, we inoculated all 60 yeast strains (including the
- 837 ancestor) individually into the standard conditions (10 mL of media in 50 mL flasks) from frozen
- stocks and propagated them for two cycles (10 days). During the third cycle, we estimated yeast
- 839 densities after 5 days of growth by plating and colony counting as described below. Correlations
- 840 between replicate measurements are shown in Figure S19A.
- 841 Measurements of YYC and AYC. We create mutant communities as follows. On day 0, we
- inoculate 50 mL growth media (in 250 mL non-baffled Erlenmeyer flask) with 1 mL of CC1690
- 843 C. reinhardtii stock stored in a liquid nitrogen freezer and incubate for 20 days. On day 20, 100
- μ L of this culture are transferred to the standard conditions (10 mL of fresh media in a 50 mL
- flask). Also on day 20, the 60 yeast strains (including the ancestor) are individually inoculated
- into the standard condition from frozen stocks. On day 25, we form the mutant communities by
- transferring 100 μ L of each yeast culture and 200 μ L of the algae culture into fresh media (200
- 848 μ L of alga were used instead of 100 μ L because the density of algae culture was approximately 849 50% of that at the initiation of the BLT experiment). These mutant communities are grown for
- or superiment). These mutant communities are grown for one cycle in our standard conditions. On day 30, we transfer 100 μ L of each mutant community
- into 10 mL fresh media, as in the BLT experiment. We estimate both yeast and alga density on
- day 35, as described previously. Yield estimates can be found in Data S2. Correlations between
- 853 replicate measurements are shown in Figure S19B,C.
- 854 **Microscopy.** To detect potential physical associations between algae and beneficial yeast
- 855 mutants, we created mutant communities as described above. After 5 days of growth,
- communities were then mounted on glass microscope slides (Fisher Scientific 12550143), sealed
- 857 with Dow Corning high vacuum grease (Amazon B001UHMNW0) and imaged on a light
- 858 microscope using a 20x objective with DIC. Extended Data Figure 8 shows one representative
- community; the remaining 17 imaged mutant communities along with WT controls can be found
- 860 in the Dryad data repository.
- 861 Mutant growth curve measurements. To estimate the growth parameters r and K for individual
- beneficial mutants, we carried out growth curve measurement experiments of individual yeast
- 863 mutants and the ancestor in the A-condition. To this end, we inoculated all 60 yeast strains
- (including the ancestor) individually into the BLT condition (10mL of media in 50mL flasks)
- from frozen stocks and propagated them for two cycles (10 days). During the third cycle, we
- estimated yeast densities on days 10, 10.5, 11, 11.5, 12, 13, 14 and 15 by plating and colony
 counting as described in the section "Measurements of YYC and AYC." The growth curves are
- shown in Figure S20 and the data are provided in Data S2.
- 869 We estimate r as the slope of the relationship between log(CFU/mL) and time (in hours) for the 870 three measurements between 12 and 36 hours of growth. We estimate K as the maximum

22

observed density (in CFU/mL). *r* and *K* estimates can be found in Data S2. Correlations between
 replicate measurements are shown in Figure S21.

873 **Mutation weighting.** Even though not all A- or C-mutants were phenotyped, we would like to

874 make certain statistical statements about the distribution of phenotypes among all sampled A- or

875 C-mutants. To this end, we associate each of the 59 phenotyped mutants with a single driver

- 876 mutation. Mutants with multiple driver mutations are associated only with the most common
- 877 driver mutation. Mutants with no identified driver mutations are associated with a unique
- unknown mutation. To obtain the prevalence of a given phenotypic value among all A- or C-
- 879 mutants, we weight each measured phenotypic value by the number of sequenced A- or C-
- 880 mutants with the same driver locus as the phenotyped mutant and divide by the total number of
- 881 phenotyped A- or C-mutants.

Kernel density estimation. We use kernel density estimate (KDE) to determine how likely

certain phenotypic trait values would occur among all A- and/or C-mutants. Specifically, we

obtain the kernel density estimates $D_A(y,a)$ and $D_C(y,a)$ for the probabilities that a community

- formed by the ancestral alga and a random A- or C-mutant, respectively, would produce yeast
- with y and alga yield a. To estimate D_A , we apply the kde2d function in R with bandwidth 1
- along the x-axis and 4/3 along the y-axis to the mutation-weighted yield data for the A-mutants.
- 888 We analogously obtain $D_C(y,a)$.
- 889 Accounting for measurement errors in statistical tests by permutation testing. As the
- 890 measurement errors in our estimates of phenotypic values (r, K, yeast yield and algae yield) are
- quite large, we use a permutation and resampling procedure to determine the statistical
- significance in various tests involving these variables. In this procedure, we permute isolate
- labels and resample the phenotypic values associated with each isolate from the normal
- distribution with the estimated mean and the estimated standard error of the mean. We carry out
- 895 1000 permutation and resampling instances in each test. To estimate the false positive rate, we
- so count the fraction of resampled values that are significant at a chosen threshold and averaged this
- 897 number over all permutations. False discovery rate (FDR) is then computed by dividing the
- 898 average number of false positives by the number of observed positives.
- 899 Permutation tests of Pearson correlation significance are conducted as follows. For each
- 900 permutation, isolate labels for each variable are permuted independently and phenotypic values
- are resampled as above. A randomized correlation coefficient is determined from each of the
- 902 1000 permutations, and significance is determined by the proportion of randomized R^2 values
- 903 that exceed the observed \bar{R}^2 value.
- 904 **Phenotypic parallelism analysis.** We quantify the degree of parallelism among a set of mutants 905 with respect to a pair of quantitative traits *X* and *Y* by estimating the probability that, for two
- 905 with respect to a pair of quantitative traits *X* and *Y* by estimating the probability that, for two 906 randomly selected mutants, trait *X* changes in the same direction in both mutants and trait *Y*
- 907 changes in the same direction in both mutants. Mathematically, if one randomly selected mutant
- has trait increments ΔX_i and ΔY_i relative to the ancestor and the other mutant has trait increments
- 909 ΔX_i and ΔY_i , we estimate the probability that both $(\Delta X_i)(\Delta X_i) \ge 0$ and $(\Delta Y_i)(\Delta Y_i) \ge 0$. This
- 910 measure of phenotypic parallelism emphasizes the direction of change rather than the magnitude.
- 911 When we compute this measure for the pair of yields of mutant communities, in which case X
- 912 and Y are yeast and alga yields, we refer to it as the probability of ecological parallelism, $P_{\rm e}$.
- 913 When we compute this measure for the growth phenotypes, in which case X and Y are r and K,
- 914 we refer to it as the probability of phenotypic parallelism, $P_{\rm ph}$.

- 915 We obtain these probabilities of parallelism for the A- and C-mutants. We test the significance of
- 916 the deviation of these probabilities from the expectation of 25% parallelism via a χ^2 -test. To
- 917 determine the statistical significance of the difference between the probabilities of parallelism for
- 918 the A- and C-mutants, we resample the phenotypic values of each of the 59 mutants from the
- 919 normal distribution (see above) and permute mutant genotype and home-environment labels, so
- 920 that the genotype and label are always associated with each other but dissociated from the
- 921 phenotypic values. We then calculate the parallelism probabilities for these permuted and
- resampled data. We estimate the *P*-value by carrying out this permutation and resampling
- 923 procedure 1000 times.

924 Data Availability

- All raw sequencing data is available on the US National Center for Biotechnology Information
- 926 (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject PRJNA735257. Other input data (e.g.
- 927 growth data, variant calls, community yield etc) can be found on Dryad at
- 928 <u>https://doi.org/10.6076/D14K5X</u>.

929 Code Availability

- 930 The latest version of the barcode counting software BarcodeCounter2 can be found at
- 931 <u>https://github.com/sandeepvenkataram/BarcodeCounter2.git</u>. Analysis scripts can be found on
- 932 Dryad at <u>https://doi.org/10.6076/D14K5X</u>.

24

933 References

- 934 1. Agostini, S. et al. Ocean acidification drives community shifts towards simplified non-
- 935 calcified habitats in a subtropical-temperate transition zone. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 11354 (2018).
- 936 2. Walther, G.-R. *et al.* Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* 416, 389–395
 937 (2002).
- 3. Gilbert, B. & Levine, J. M. Ecological drift and the distribution of species diversity. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 284, (2017).
- 940 4. White, E. P. *et al.* A comparison of the species-time relationship across ecosystems and
 941 taxonomic groups. *Oikos* 112, 185–195 (2006).
- 5. Sax, D. F. & Gaines, S. D. Species invasions and extinction: the future of native biodiversity
 on islands. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 105 Suppl 1, 11490–11497 (2008).
- 6. Thompson, J. N. Rapid evolution as an ecological process. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 13, 329–332 (1998).
- 946 7. Post, D. M. & Palkovacs, E. P. Eco-evolutionary feedbacks in community and ecosystem
- 947 ecology: interactions between the ecological theatre and the evolutionary play. *Philos. Trans. R.*948 *Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 364, 1629–1640 (2009).
- 949 8. Reznick, D. N. & Travis, J. Experimental Studies of Evolution and Eco-Evo Dynamics in
- 950 Guppies (Poecilia reticulata). *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* (2019) doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-951 110218-024926.
- 952 9. Hendry, A. P. *Eco-evolutionary Dynamics*. (Princeton University Press, 2020).
- 953 10. Schoener, T. W. The newest synthesis: understanding the interplay of evolutionary and
 954 ecological dynamics. *Science* 331, 426–429 (2011).
- 11. Yoshida, T., Jones, L. E., Ellner, S. P., Fussmann, G. F. & Hairston, N. G. Rapid evolution
 drives ecological dynamics in a predator–prey system. *Nature* vol. 424 303–306 (2003).
- 12. Hansen, S. K., Rainey, P. B., Haagensen, J. A. J. & Molin, S. Evolution of species
 interactions in a biofilm community. *Nature* 445, 533–536 (2007).
- 13. Hillesland, K. L. & Stahl, D. A. Rapid evolution of stability and productivity at the origin of
 a microbial mutualism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 2124–2129 (2010).
- 14. Turcotte, M. M., Reznick, D. N. & Hare, J. D. The impact of rapid evolution on population
- 962 dynamics in the wild: experimental test of eco-evolutionary dynamics. *Ecol. Lett.* 14, 1084–1092
 963 (2011).
- 15. Lawrence, D. *et al.* Species interactions alter evolutionary responses to a novel environment. *PLoS Biol.* 10, e1001330 (2012).
- 966 16. Celiker, H. & Gore, J. Clustering in community structure across replicate ecosystems
- 967 following a long-term bacterial evolution experiment. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 4643 (2014).
- 968 17. Andrade-Domínguez, A., Salazar, E., Vargas-Lagunas, M. del C., Kolter, R. & Encarnación,
- 969 S. Eco-evolutionary feedbacks drive species interactions. *ISME J.* 8, 1041–1054 (2014).

- 18. Reznick, D. Hard and Soft Selection Revisited: How Evolution by Natural Selection Works
 in the Real World. *J. Hered.* 107, 3–14 (2016).
- 972 19. Matthews, B., Aebischer, T., Sullam, K. E., Lundsgaard-Hansen, B. & Seehausen, O.
- 973 Experimental Evidence of an Eco-evolutionary Feedback during Adaptive Divergence. *Curr.*974 *Biol.* 26, 483–489 (2016).
- 975 20. Harcombe, W. R., Chacón, J. M., Adamowicz, E. M., Chubiz, L. M. & Marx, C. J. Evolution
- 976 of bidirectional costly mutualism from byproduct consumption. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*977 **115**, 12000–12004 (2018).
- 978 21. Preussger, D., Giri, S., Muhsal, L. K., Oña, L. & Kost, C. Reciprocal Fitness Feedbacks
- 979 Promote the Evolution of Mutualistic Cooperation. Curr. Biol. (2020)
- 980 doi:10.1016/j.cub.2020.06.100.
- 981 22. Adamowicz, E. M., Muza, M., Chacón, J. M. & Harcombe, W. R. Cross-feeding modulates
- 982 the rate and mechanism of antibiotic resistance evolution in a model microbial community of 983 Escherichia coli and Salmonalla enterica, $PL = S_{i} Pathon 16$, c = 1008700 (2020)
- *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*. *PLoS Pathog*. **16**, e1008700 (2020).
- 23. Rodríguez-Verdugo, A. & Ackermann, M. Rapid evolution destabilizes species interactions
 in a fluctuating environment. *ISME J.* 15, 450–460 (2021).
- 986 24. Barber, J. N. *et al.* The evolution of coexistence from competition in experimental co-987 cultures of Escherichia coli and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *ISME J.* **15**, 746–761 (2021).
- 988 25. Hart, S. F. M., Chen, C.-C. & Shou, W. Pleiotropic mutations can rapidly evolve to directly
 989 benefit self and cooperative partner despite unfavorable conditions. *Elife* 10, (2021).
- 26. Kokko, H. *et al.* Can Evolution Supply What Ecology Demands? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 32, 187–
 197 (2017).
- 992 27. Nuismer, S. Introduction to Coevolutionary Theory. (Macmillan Learning, 2017).
- 28. Stoltzfus, A. & McCandlish, D. M. Mutational Biases Influence Parallel Adaptation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34, 2163–2172 (2017).
- 29. Payne, J. L. *et al.* Transition bias influences the evolution of antibiotic resistance in
 Mycobacterium tuberculosis. *PLoS Biol.* 17, e3000265 (2019).
- 997 30. Storz, J. F. *et al.* The role of mutation bias in adaptive molecular evolution: insights from
- convergent changes in protein function. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 374, 20180238
 (2019).
- 1000 31. Gomez, K., Bertram, J. & Masel, J. Mutation bias can shape adaptation in large asexual
 1001 populations experiencing clonal interference. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 287, 20201503 (2020).
- 32. Venkataram, S., Monasky, R., Sikaroodi, S. H., Kryazhimskiy, S. & Kacar, B. Evolutionary
 stalling and a limit on the power of natural selection to improve a cellular module. *Proceedings*of the National Academy of Sciences 117, 18582–18590 (2020).
- 33. Hom, E. F. Y. & Murray, A. W. Niche engineering demonstrates a latent capacity for fungalalgal mutualism. *Science* 345, 94–98 (2014).
- 1007 34. Wolfe, B. E. & Dutton, R. J. Fermented foods as experimentally tractable microbial
- 1008 ecosystems. *Cell* **161**, 49–55 (2015).

- 1009 35. Chacón, J. M., Hammarlund, S. P., Martinson, J. N. V., Smith, L. B. & Harcombe, W. R. The
- Ecology and Evolution of Model Microbial Mutualisms. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 52, 363–
 384 (2021).
- 1012 36. Blasche, S., Kim, Y., Oliveira, A. P. & Patil, K. R. Model microbial communities for
- 1013 ecosystems biology. *Current Opinion in Systems Biology* **6**, 51–57 (2017).
- 1014 37. Levy, S. F. *et al.* Quantitative evolutionary dynamics using high-resolution lineage tracking.
 1015 *Nature* 519, 181–186 (2015).
- 1016 20 W 1 4 C 4 D 1 4 C
- 1016 38. Venkataram, S. *et al.* Development of a comprehensive genotype-to-fitness map of
 1017 adaptation-driving mutations in yeast. *Cell* 166, 1585–1596.e22 (2016).
- 1018 39. Jones, E. I., Bronstein, J. L. & Ferrière, R. The fundamental role of competition in the 1019 ecology and evolution of mutualisms. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1256**, 66–88 (2012).
- 1020 40. Boyer, S., Hérissant, L. & Sherlock, G. Adaptation is influenced by the complexity of
- 1021 environmental change during evolution in a dynamic environment. *PLoS Genet.* 17, e10093141022 (2021).
- 41. Blundell, J. R. *et al.* The dynamics of adaptive genetic diversity during the early stages of
 clonal evolution. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 3, 293–301 (2019).
- 1025 42. Good, B. H., Rouzine, I. M., Balick, D. J., Hallatschek, O. & Desai, M. M. Distribution of
- fixed beneficial mutations and the rate of adaptation in asexual populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **109**, 4950–4955 (2012).
- 1028 43. Good, B. H., Martis, S. & Hallatschek, O. Adaptation limits ecological diversification and
- 1029 promotes ecological tinkering during the competition for substitutable resources. *Proceedings of* 1030 *the National Academy of Sciences* **115**, E10407–E10416 (2018).
- 44. Zhu, Y. O., Siegal, M. L., Hall, D. W. & Petrov, D. A. Precise estimates of mutation rate and
 spectrum in yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, E2310–8 (2014).
- 45. Dunham, M. J. *et al.* Characteristic genome rearrangements in experimental evolution of
 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 99, 16144–16149 (2002).
- 46. Yona, A. H. *et al.* Chromosomal duplication is a transient evolutionary solution to stress. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 21010–21015 (2012).
- 47. Sunshine, A. B. *et al.* The fitness consequences of aneuploidy are driven by conditiondependent gene effects. *PLoS Biol.* 13, e1002155 (2015).
- 48. Gerrish, P. J. & Lenski, R. E. The fate of competing beneficial mutations in an asexual
 population. *Genetica* 102-103, 127–144 (1998).
- 49. Desai, M. M. & Fisher, D. S. Beneficial Mutation–Selection Balance and the Effect of
 Linkage on Positive Selection. *Genetics* 176, 1759–1798 (2007).
- 50. Schiffels, S., Szöllosi, G. J., Mustonen, V. & Lässig, M. Emergent neutrality in adaptive
 asexual evolution. *Genetics* 189, 1361–1375 (2011).
- 1045 51. Nguyen Ba, A. N. *et al.* High-resolution lineage tracking reveals travelling wave of
- adaptation in laboratory yeast. *Nature* **575**, 494–499 (2019).

- 1047 52. Foster, K. R., Shaulsky, G., Strassmann, J. E., Queller, D. C. & Thompson, C. R. L.
- 1048 Pleiotropy as a mechanism to stabilize cooperation. *Nature* **431**, 693–696 (2004).
- 1049 53. Sachs, J. L., Mueller, U. G., Wilcox, T. P. & Bull, J. J. The evolution of cooperation. *Q. Rev.*1050 *Biol.* **79**, 135–160 (2004).
- 1051 54. Harcombe, W. Novel cooperation experimentally evolved between species. *Evolution* 64,
 1052 2166–2172 (2010).
- 1053 55. Vasi, F., Travisano, M. & Lenski, R. E. Long-term experimental evolution in Escherichia
- *coli*. II. Changes in life-history traits during adaptation to a seasonal environment. *Am. Nat.* 144,
 432–456 (1994).
- 1056 56. MacArthur, R. H. & Wilson, E. O. *The theory of island biogeography*. (Princeton University1057 Press, 2001).
- 1058 57. Reznick, D., Bryant, M. J. & Bashey, F. *r* and *K*-selection revisited: the role of population
 1059 regulation in life-history evolution. *Ecology* 83, 1509–1520 (2002).
- 58. Mueller, L. D. & Ayala, F. J. Trade-off between r-selection and K-selection in Drosophila
 populations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 78, 1303–1305 (1981).
- 1062 59. Novak, M., Pfeiffer, T., Lenski, R. E., Sauer, U. & Bonhoeffer, S. Experimental tests for an 1063 evolutionary trade-off between growth rate and yield in *E. coli. Am. Nat.* **168**, 242–251 (2006).
- 1064 60. Bachmann, H. *et al.* Availability of public goods shapes the evolution of competing
 1065 metabolic strategies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **110**, 14302–14307 (2013).
- 1066 61. Lipson, D. A. The complex relationship between microbial growth rate and yield and its 1067 implications for ecosystem processes. *Front. Microbiol.* **6**, 615 (2015).
- 1068 62. Orivel, J. et al. Trade-offs in an ant-plant-fungus mutualism. Proc. Biol. Sci. 284, (2017).
- fritts, R. K. *et al.* Enhanced nutrient uptake is sufficient to drive emergent cross-feeding
 between bacteria in a synthetic community. *ISME J.* 14, 2816–2828 (2020).
- 1071 64. Wortel, M. T., Noor, E., Ferris, M., Bruggeman, F. J. & Liebermeister, W. Metabolic enzyme
- 1072 cost explains variable trade-offs between microbial growth rate and yield. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*1073 14, e1006010 (2018).
- 1074 65. Cheng, C. *et al.* Laboratory evolution reveals a two-dimensional rate-yield tradeoff in
 1075 microbial metabolism. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* 15, e1007066 (2019).
- 1076 66. Luckinbill, L. S. r and K Selection in Experimental Populations of *Escherichia coli*. *Science*1077 202, 1201–1203 (1978).
- 1078 67. Oxman, E., Alon, U. & Dekel, E. Defined order of evolutionary adaptations: experimental
 1079 evidence. *Evolution* 62, 1547–1554 (2008).
- 68. Jasmin, J.-N., Dillon, M. M. & Zeyl, C. The yield of experimental yeast populations declines
 during selection. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* 279, 4382–4388 (2012).
- 1082 69. Laan, L., Koschwanez, J. H. & Murray, A. W. Evolutionary adaptation after crippling cell 1083 polarization follows reproducible trajectories. *Elife* **4**, (2015).
- 1084 70. Blount, Z. D., Lenski, R. E. & Losos, J. B. Contingency and determinism in evolution:
- 1085 Replaying life's tape. *Science* **362**, (2018).

- 1086 71. Fukami, T. Historical contingency in community assembly: integrating niches, species pools,
 1087 and priority effects. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 46, 1–23 (2015).
- 1088 72. Rainey, P. B. & Travisano, M. Adaptive radiation in a heterogeneous environment. *Nature*1089 **394**, 69–72 (1998).
- 1090 73. Meyer, J. R. *et al.* Repeatability and contingency in the evolution of a key innovation in 1091 phage lambda. *Science* **335**, 428–432 (2012).
- 1092 74. Herron, M. D. & Doebeli, M. Parallel evolutionary dynamics of adaptive diversification in
 1093 *Escherichia coli. PLoS Biol.* 11, e1001490 (2013).
- 1094 75. Hillesland, K. L. *et al.* Erosion of functional independence early in the evolution of a
 1095 microbial mutualism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 14822–14827 (2014).
- 1096 76. Meroz, N., Tovi, N., Sorokin, Y. & Friedman, J. Community composition of microbial
- 1097 microcosms follows simple assembly rules at evolutionary timescales. *Nat. Commun.* 12, 28911098 (2021).
- 1099 77. MacLean, R. C. The tragedy of the commons in microbial populations: insights from
- 1100 theoretical, comparative and experimental studies. *Heredity* **100**, 471–477 (2008).
- 1101 78. Dunn, B. *et al.* Recurrent rearrangement during adaptive evolution in an interspecific yeast
 1102 hybrid suggests a model for rapid introgression. *PLoS Genet.* 9, e1003366 (2013).
- 1103 79. Lee, C. K., Araki, N., Sowersby, D. S. & Lewis, L. K. Factors affecting chemical-based
 1104 purification of DNA from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Yeast* 29, 73–80 (2012).
- 1105 80. Newman, S. M. *et al.* Transformation of chloroplast ribosomal RNA genes in
- Chlamydomonas: molecular and genetic characterization of integration events. *Genetics* 126, 875–888 (1990).
- 1108 81. Ghodsi, M., Liu, B. & Pop, M. DNACLUST: accurate and efficient clustering of 1109 phylogenetic marker genes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **12**, 271 (2011).
- 82. Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* 25, 1754–1760 (2009).
- 1112 83. Li, Y. *et al.* Hidden Complexity of Yeast Adaptation under Simple Evolutionary Conditions.
 1113 *Curr. Biol.* 28, 515–525.e6 (2018).
- 84. Barillot, E., Lacroix, B. & Cohen, D. Theoretical analysis of library screening using a Ndimensional pooling strategy. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 19, 6241–6247 (1991).
- 1116 85. Baym, M., Shaket, L., Anzai, I. A., Adesina, O. & Barstow, B. Rapid construction of a
- whole-genome transposon insertion collection for Shewanella oneidensis by Knockout Sudoku. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 13270 (2016).
- 86. Baym, M. *et al.* Inexpensive multiplexed library preparation for megabase-sized genomes. *PLoS One* 10, e0128036 (2015).
- 1121 87. Tenaillon, O. *et al.* The molecular diversity of adaptive convergence. *Science* 335, 457–461(2012).
- 1123 88. Kryazhimskiy, S., Rice, D. P., Jerison, E. R. & Desai, M. M. Global epistasis makes
- adaptation predictable despite sequence-level stochasticity. *Science* **344**, 1519–1522 (2014).

- 1125 Acknowledgements: We thank Gavin Sherlock and Katja Schwartz for providing the barcoded
- 1126 yeast library, Stephen Mayfield and Frank Fields for laboratory equipment and help with algal
- 1127 husbandry, Rachel Dutton and Manon Morin for help with sequencing, Scott Rifkin and Jessica
- 1128 Bloom for help with microscopy, STARS students Jesse Yu and Sophia Rosemann for help with
- 1129 experiments, Justin Meyer, Alena Martsul, Shohreh Sikaroodi for technical assistance, the
- 1130 Kryazhimskiy, Meyer and Hwa labs, Damien Barrett, Josh Borin, Shermin de Silva, Susanne
- 1131 Dunker, Nandita Garud, Stan Harpole, Canan Karakoç, Holly Moeller, Dmitri Petrov, and Peter
- 1132 Zee for feedback on the manuscript. Sequencing was done in part at the UCSD IGM center
- 1133 (University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA). We acknowledge the San Diego
- Supercomputing Center for the use of the TSCC cluster for computing services. EFYH is funded by National Science Foundation CAREER grant 1846376 and Deutsches Zentrum für Integrative
- by National Science Foundation CAREER grant 1846376 and Deutsches Zentrum f
 ür Integrative
 Biodiversitätsforschung (iDiv) grant DFG–FZT 118, 202548816. SK is funded by BWF Career
- 1137 Award at the Scientific Interface grant 1010719.01, Alfred P. Sloan Foundation grant FG-2017-
- 1138 9227 and the Hellman Foundation.
- 1139 Author contributions: conceptualization (SV, EFYH, SK), methodology (SV, HYK, SK), data
- 1140 acquisition (SV), analysis (SV, HYK, SK), initial manuscript (SV, SK), editing (SV, HYK,
- 1141 EFYH, SK), supervision (EFYH, SK), funding (SK).
- 1142 **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing interests.
- 1143 Materials and Correspondence: All raw sequencing data is available on the US National
- 1144 Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject
- 1145 PRJNA735257. Other input data (e.g. growth data, variant calls, community yield etc) and
- analysis scripts can be found in Data Files S1–S4 and on Dryad at
- https://doi.org/10.6076/D14K5X. Strains and other biological materials are available by request
 to SK.
- 1149 **Code availability:** The latest version of the barcode counting software BarcodeCounter2 can be
- 1150 found at https://github.com/sandeepvenkataram/BarcodeCounter2.git. All other analysis scripts
- 1151 used for this study are available on Dryad at <u>https://doi.org/10.6076/D14K5X</u>.
- 1152 Code and data availability for reviewers: As the data and code is not currently publicly
- available on Dryad, reviewers can access this data through the following reviewer link:
- $1154 \underline{https://datadryad.org/stash/share/g4RSTbYCCwCpQxidH0dhQDKf7SsVsijY8pjzcsy7Y88}$
- 1155
- 1156

30

1157 Figures





bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.07.451547; this version posted July 2, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

31



1162

1163 Figure 2. The presence of the alga affects adaptation in yeast at fitness and genetic levels.

1164 (A) Yeast bDFE when evolving alone (top) and in the community with the alga (bottom). Each 1165 histogram is constructed from data pooled across five replicate BLT experiments. Blue outlines 1166 show the bDFE in the other condition. Each colored point indicates the average fitness of a 1167 mutant carrying a mutation at the indicated adaptive locus (same data as in panel B). Large 1168 CNVs are referred to as chrx-yn, where x is the chromosome number and y is the number of copies. (B) Fitness of A- and C-mutants measured in competition assays in the A- and C-1169 1170 conditions. Mutants carrying mutations at the 7 most common driver loci are colored. Error bars 1171 show ± 1 standard error. The solid line indicates the diagonal. (C) Distribution of adaptive 1172 mutations among A- and C-mutants. Seven most common driver loci are shown individually; all 1173 other mutations are grouped into "Other" (see Data S3 for full distributions). Adaptive mutations 1174 that are expected to be present in our mutants but were not identified in the genome data are

- 1175 labeled as "Unknown" (see Methods for details). Numbers indicate how many A- and C-mutants 1176 carry each type of driver mutation.
- 1177
- 1178
- 1179

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.07.451547; this version posted July 2, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.





1181 Figure 3. Adaptive mutations in yeast have diverse ecological consequences. (A) Correlation

1182 between yeast yield in community (YYC) and yeast yield alone (YYA), normalized by ancestral

1183 values, across sampled mutants. (B) Correlation between yeast yield in community (YYC) and

alga yield in community (AYC), normalized by ancestral values, across sampled mutants. (C)

1185 The ratio of YYC to YYA and the ratio of AYC to AYA (algal yield alone), normalized by

ancestral values, across sampled mutants. Darker shades of green indicate stronger mutualism. In

all panels, error bars represent ± 1 standard error of the mean. In panels A and B, *P*-values are

1188 obtained by permutation (Methods) and solid lines are fitted by linear regression.

1189

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.07.451547; this version posted July 2, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.



0.25

 ΔK

Ó

R = 0.51

P < 10⁻³

0.5

-2

-0.25



-0.4

□ A-mutants

C-mutants

-0.25

1191 Figure 4. The effects of adaptive mutations on life-history traits, fitness and yield. The

1192 effects of mutations on growth rate (r) and peak density (K) in the A-condition, Δr and ΔK , are

-0.25

1193 reported as fractional differences relative to the ancestral values (Methods). (A) Correlation

1194 between ΔK and Δr among all sampled adapted mutants. (B) Correlation between ΔK and

1195 competitive fitness in the community among all sampled adapted mutants. (C) Correlation

1196 between ΔK and YYC (yeast yield in community), normalized to ancestral values, among all

1197 sampled adapted mutants. Error bars show ± 1 standard error of the mean. Solid lines are fitted by

1198 linear regression. *P*-values are computed by permutation (Methods).

R = -0.37

0.25

Ò

ΔK

P = 4×10⁻³

0.5

1199

R = 0.63

P < 10⁻³

0.5

0.25

ò

 ΔK

34

1200 Extended Data Figures



1201



1203 Same data as in Figure 1. Error bars show ± 1 standard error of the mean.

35



1204

1205 Extended Data Figure 2: Frequency trajectories of barcoded lineages in yeast in the A-

1206 **condition.** Each panel corresponds to a BLT replicate population in the A-condition, as

1207 indicated. Lineage frequencies were measured at every odd cycle. Twenty random adapted

1208 lineages are shown in red, and twenty random neutral lineages are shown in blue.

36



1210

1211 Extended Data Figure 3: Frequency trajectories of barcoded lineages in yeast in the C-

1212 **condition.** Each panel corresponds to a BLT replicate population in the C-condition, as

- 1213 indicated. Notations are as in the Extended Data Figure 2.
- 1214


1215



1217 driver loci. Only driver loci with 5 or more mutations are shown (see Data S3 for the full

1218 distribution). Colors correspond to Figure 2 in the main text.

1219

37

38



1220

Extended Data Figure 5: Probabilities of observing adaptive mutations at the most
 common driver loci in the whole-genome sequencing data. A. Shades of gray show the

1223 probability of sampling at least one clone with a beneficial mutation that arises at a certain rate 1224 (x-axis) and provide a certain fitness benefit in the A-condition (y-axis). The most common 1225 driver loci are shown by points (colors are the same as in Figure 2 in the main text). The 1226 estimated beneficial mutation rate and the selection coefficient for each mutation class are given 1227 in Table S3. B. Same as A but for the C-condition. The mutation rate for each locus is assumed 1228 to be the same in both conditions, but the selection coefficients vary. C. The observed number of 1229 sequenced clones per replicate population with a mutation at each locus (black points) and the 1230 numbers expected in our simulations (bars and whiskers). Bars show IQR (Q3 – Q1), whiskers show $Q1 - 1.5 \times IQR$ and $Q3 + 1.5 \times IQR$. 1231

- 1232
- 1233



1234

1235Extended Data Figure 6: The weighted distribution of yields. The heatmap shows the ratio of1236probabilities D_C and D_A of observing a given pair of yields among C- and A-mutants. Data1237points are identical to Figure 3B in the main text. To estimate D_A and D_C , each data point is1238weighted by the frequency of occurrence of the corresponding mutation among the A- and C-1239mutants, respectively (see Methods for details). Regions where either D_A or D_C falls below 0.031240are colored gray. YYC and YYA are normalized by the respective ancestral values.

1241

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.07.451547; this version posted July 2, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.







1243 Extended Data Figure 7: Correlations between competitive fitness and yields. Normalization
 1244 is relative to the ancestor. Error bars represent ±1 standard error of the mean.

1245



- 1246
- 1247 Extended Data Figure 8: Representative microscopy image showing lack of physical
- associations between yeast and algae cells. Mutant culture formed by the C-mutant C2
- 1249 (barcode ID 109098) is shown. Yeast and alga cells are indicated with arrows.

42





Extended Data Figure 9: Relationship between growth rate, yields and fitness. In all panels,
 normalization is relative to the ancestor. Error bars represent ±1 standard error of the mean.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.07.451547; this version posted July 2, 2022. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.







1254 Extended Data Figure 10: Relationship between carrying capacity, yields and fitness. In all

- 1255 panels, normalization is relative to the ancestor. Error bars represent ± 1 standard error of the 1256 mean.
- 1257

44

1258 Supplementary Materials

- 1259 Supplementary Information Text
- 1260 Figure S1. Estimation of fitness of adapted lineages in the BLT data.
- 1261 **Figure S2.** Analysis of sensitivity of the procedure for calling adapted lineages with respect to
- 1262 the minimum frequency threshold and the expansion factor.
- Figure S3. Analysis of sensitivity of the procedure for calling adapted lineages with respect tothe SE parameter.
- 1265 **Figure S4.** Precision and accuracy of the procedure for calling adapted lineages.
- 1266 Figure S5. Accuracy of BLT fitness estimates and the shape of the bDFE.
- 1267 Figure S6. bDFEs estimated for replicate populations individually.
- Figure S7. Distributions of standard errors for fitness estimates of adapted lineages for all replicate populations.
- 1270 Figure S8. Evidence for pre-existing mutations in the BLT experiments.
- 1271 **Figure S9.** Lineage frequency trajectories in the competition assay.
- 1272 Figure S10. Correlation between fitness estimates across replicates of the competition assay.
- Figure S11. Mean and the coefficient of variation of the fitness estimates in the competitionassay.
- 1275 **Figure S12.** Correlation between BLT and competitive fitness estimates.
- 1276 Figure S13. An example illustrating the detection of large chromosomal duplications and
- 1277 deletions in the genome sequence data.
- 1278 **Figure S14.** Relationship between coverage and chromosome position.
- 1279 Figure S15. Allele frequency spectrum for ancestral and adapted isolates.
- 1280 **Figure S16.** Distribution of mutations per clone.
- 1281 **Figure S17.** Estimation of the rate of beneficial mutations.
- 1282 **Figure S18.** Calibration curve for the alga cell density estimation.
- 1283 Figure S19. Correlation between yield measurements across replicates.
- 1284 **Figure S20.** Mutant growth curves in the A-condition.
- 1285 **Figure S21.** Correlation between replicates for *r* and *K* measurements.
- 1286 **Figure S22.** Mutant invasion simulations.
- 1287
- 1288 **Table S1.** *P*-values for comparison of absolute abundances and net population change rates
- across conditions.
- 1290 **Table S2.** Statistics of mutational counts among sequenced mutants.

- **Table S3.** Numbers of isolates carrying the most common adaptive mutations and the estimated
- 1292 mutation rates.
- **Table S4.** Multiple regression analysis of fitness against life-history traits.

- 1295 Data S1. Absolute species abundances over a five-day growth period.
- **Data S2.** List of all sampled isolates, their fitness, phenotypes and yields.
- **Data S3.** List of all identified mutations.
- **Data S4.** Distribution of adaptive mutations across driver loci.

Supplementary Information for "Mutualism-enhancing mutations dominate early adaptation in a microbial community"

Sandeep Venkataram, Huan-Yu Kuo, Erik F. Y. Hom, Sergey Kryazhimskiy*

July 1, 2022

Contents

1	Barcode lineage tracking (BLT) experiment and data analysis	3
	1.1 Number of yeast and alga generations per cycle	3
	1.2 Justification for ignoring adaptation in alga	4
	1.3 BLT data analysis	4
2	Competitive fitness assays	7
3	Genome sequencing and analysis	9
4	Simulations of evolutionary dynamics and the estimation of rates of	
	adaptive mutations	11
	4.1 Data	11
	4.2 Model	12
	4.3 Results	15
5	Phenotyping	15
	5.1 Calibration curve for the measurement of alga cell density	15
	5.2 Analysis of plausibility of natural selection favoring high- K /low- r mutants	16
	5.3 Relationship between r, K and fitness	17

*Correspondence: skryazhi@ucsd.edu

6	Possible function effects of mutations in HEM1, HEM2 and HEM3	•
	genes	17
	6.1 HEM1	17
	6.2 HEM2	19
	6.3 HEM3	20
7	Data availability	20
8	Supplementary Figures	21
9	Supplementary Tables	44
Re	eferences	48

1 Barcode lineage tracking (BLT) experiment and data analysis

1.1 Number of yeast and alga generations per cycle

Yeast. The rate at which new mutations arise in a yeast population and the amount of physical time it takes for these mutations to survive drift and establish depends on the number generations that yeast go through during our BLT experiment. Thus, if yeast go through substantially different numbers of generations per cycle between the A- and the C-conditions, it could cause differences in our power to detect adapted lineages, which in turn could confound our ability to compare measured bDFEs. In fact, it is possible that yeast go through different number of generations because they reach different peak densities and because they likely do not reproduce after Day 2 in the A-condition, but they likely do reproduce in the C-condition (since the alga supplies the limiting ammonium).

We can estimate the number of generations in the A-condition if we assume that there is no death during Days 1–2 and there is no growth during Days 2–5. Given that the yeast starts the growth cycle at 1.37×10^4 cells per mL and reaches peak density of 4.72×10^6 cells per mL on Day 2 (Figure 1, Data S1), the estimated number of generations per cycle in the A-condition is 8.4. Knowing that yeast yield in the A-condition is 9.83×10^5 cells per mL, we can also estimate the average per capita death rate in the A-condition in Days 3-5 as 0.52 per day.

We can estimate the number of generations per cycle in the C-condition as follows. We again assume that there is no death in Days 1–2. Thus, given that the yeast starts the growth cycle at 2.39×10^4 cells per mL and reaches peak density of 2.83×10^6 cells per mL on Day 2 (Figure 1, Data S1), we estimate the number of generations in this part of the cycle to be 6.9. To estimate the number of generations in Days 2–5, we assume that the per capita death rate during this phase of the cycle is the same in the C-condition as in the A-condition, i.e., 0.52 per day. Thus, in the absence of new births, yeast would have reached density of 5.95×10^5 cells per mL by the end of the cycle. Instead, we observe that yeast yield is 8.78×10^5 cells per mL, which implies that 2.83×10^5 new yeast cells were produced during this period, corresponding to 0.13 generations. Thus, we estimate that yeast go through on average 7.0 generations per cycle in the C-condition.

Given that yeast go through fewer generations and generally have lower population sizes in the C-condition than in the A-condition, we would expect that fewer adaptive mutations would arise in the C-condition and it would take them longer to spread. However, we actually detect slightly more adapted lineages in the C-condition than in the A-condition, suggesting that these differences do not substantially undermine our ability to identify adapted lineages.

Alga. Since the alga grow continuously during the entire cycle both alone and in community with yeast, we can estimate their number of generations by assuming that

there is no death. Given that the alga growing alone starts at density 9.3×10^3 cells per mL and reaches density 2.6×10^6 cells per mL(Figure 1), we estimate that the alga goes through approximately 8.1 generations when growing alone. Given that the alga growing in community with yeast starts at density 4.83×10^4 cells per mL and reaches density 7.90×10^6 cells per mL, we estimate that the alga goes through approximately 7.4 generations when growing in community.

1.2 Justification for ignoring adaptation in alga

In the C-condition, that is, when yeast and alga are co-cultured together, yeast and alga reach final yields of 8.78×10^6 and 7.90×10^7 cells, respectively, with the bottleneck sizes being by a factor of 100 smaller. Numerous evolution experiments with yeast populations of comparable or smaller size across various environmental conditions have shown that adaptive mutations arise and reach high frequencies within 250 generations or less [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Although much less is known about the rates of adaptation in *Chlamydomonas* reinhardtii, one study reports a failure of the alga (strain CC2344) to adapt within 1000 generations of evolution at the bottleneck size of 10^5 cells [6]. Another study reports 35%growth rate gain after 1880 generations of evolution in alga strain CC-503 cw92 mt+ [7]with a comparable population size to ours and detectable gains appeared only after about 300 generations. Our community BLT experiments last for about 66 algal generations until adapted yeast mutants are sampled, which is likely insufficient for new mutations to arise in the alga population. Furthermore, since the environment in our experiment is well-mixed and there is no evidence for physical associations between the two species (see Extended Data Figure 8), the only way the alga can modify the environment for yeast is through the medium. Thus, even if some alga mutants arose during the BLT experiment, they would not be able to reach high enough frequencies in the population to substantially alter the medium.

1.3 BLT data analysis

Sanity checks. We performed two "sanity" checks to ensure that our analysis gives reasonable results. First, mean fitness is expected to monotonically increase over time in each population. We find that mean fitness does indeed increase monotonically during the first 11 cycles in all but one populations (Figure S1B). Second, we visually inspected how well individual lineage trajectories are fitted by equation

$$x_i(t+1) = x_i(t)e^{s_i - \bar{s}(t)}$$

and found that these fits are generally very good (Figure S1C,D shows typical fits).

Sensitivity of the procedure for detecting adapted lineages with respect to the choices of parameters. The heuristic procedure for identifying adapted lineages has

three key parameters: (1) the minimum lineage frequency threshold (set to 10^{-4}); (2) the expansion factor used to identify neutral lineages (set to 100); and (3) the "SE parameter" which is the number of standard errors separating the lineage's fitness from zero required to call it adapted (set to 2). We tested the sensitivity of our procedure with respect to the choice of these parameters.

We varied the minimum lineage frequency threshold and the expansion factor by an order of magnitude in either direction. We find that the number of adapted lineages that we detect varies primarily with the minimum lineage frequency threshold, as expected (Figure S2C). However, our claims regarding the differences between the bDFEs in the A- and C-condition remain reasonably robust (Figure S2A,B). The most sensitive result is the difference in the width of the bDFEs which disappears when we lower the minimum lineage frequency threshold, presumably because too many non-adapted lineages are being falsely called adapted.

We then tested how the SE parameter affects the bDFE median in each condition, while keeping the other two parameters at their chosen values. We find that the bDFE median as a function of the SE parameter has a plateau between values 1 and 2 (Figure S3). When the SE parameter declines below 1, we see a precipitous decline in the bDFE median, as would be expected from the associated growth in the number of false positives. When the SE parameter is increased beyond 2, we observe a gradual increase in the bDFE mean, as would be expected from the associated growth in false negatives. This result suggests that any choice of the SE parameter within the plateau would be appropriate, as it would strike a balance between keeping down both false positives and false negatives.

Precision and accuracy of the procedure for detecting adapted lineages. We developed a simulation framework to quantify the precision and accuracy of our procedure. We simulated evolution using a population initialized with 100,000 barcoded lineages, of which 2,000 had already acquired an adaptive mutation prior to the beginning of the evolutionary simulation. The fitness effects of these mutations per growth cycle were drawn from a normal distribution, with $\mu = 0.4$ and $\sigma = 0.2$. These parameters were selected to allow for relatively weak adaptation, as a strong adaptation regime would lead to extinction of many adapted mutants. Observing adaptation in this regime allows us to get better statistics on the precision and accuracy of our method.

We simulated the evolution of our population iteratively as follows. Given that the population size of lineage i at the beginning of cycle t is N_t^i , by the end of the cycle it deterministically grows or shrinks to

$$\tilde{N}_{t+1}^i = N_t^i \, e^{s_i - \omega_t}.$$

Here, s_i is the fitness of lineage i, $\omega_t = \sum_i N_t^i s_i / \sum_i N_t^i$ is the mean fitness of the population at time t. After growth, we simulate dilution, so that the size of lineage i at the beginning of cycle t+1 is drawn from the Poisson distribution with parameter \tilde{N}_{t+1}^i . The initial size N_0 of each lineage was drawn from an exponential distribution with mean 100.

The population was propagated for total 18 growth cycles. Every other cycle, barcodes were sampled and "sequenced". We simulate this stochastic process by drawing r_t^i reads of barcode *i* from the negative binomial distribution with mean $u_i = R N_t^i / \sum_i N_t^i$, and variance $u_i (1 + \epsilon u_i)$. The total read depth was set as $R = 10^6$. The error parameter $\epsilon = 0.01$ allows for variation greater than the mean and represents systematic sources of experimental error (gDNA extraction, PRCs, sequencing). The choice of the negative binomial distribution and parameter ϵ was based on Ref. [8]. We then applied our lineage calling procedure to these simulated barcode data.

Our procedure identified 2306 lineages as adapted, of which 1413 were true positives and 893 were false positives (Figure S4). We thus estimate the false positive rate to be 71%, the false positive rate to be 1% and the false discovery rate to be 39%. For the true positives, the estimated fitness correlates very well with their true fitness (Figure S5A). The median of the estimated bDFE is lower compared to that of the underlying true bDFE (0.314 versus 0.406, Figure S5B), presumably due the presence of false positives. Indeed, 93% (829 out of 893) of the false positives have estimated fitness effects below the median. As a result, for lineages above the median the FDR is 4.3%, indicating, as expected, that our procedure captures the higher-fitness lineages very well.

Pre-existing mutations. Some mutations could have arisen prior to the beginning of the experiment, specifically, prior to splitting the barcoded yeast library between five A and five C replicates. Such pre-existing mutations cause two issues with the downstream analysis and interpretation. First, they make it more difficult to identify causal mutations that increase fitness of adapted lineages. We discuss this problem and how we address it in Section 3. The second issue is that pre-existing beneficial mutations confound the inference of bDFEs and their comparison across treatments. Specifically, if multiple lineages (within the same population or in different replicate populations) carry the same pre-exisiting beneficial mutation and are identified as such, this mutation will be counted multiple times and will therefore inflate the size of a fitness class in the inferred bDFE.

To diagnose this problem, we note that there are two types of pre-existing mutations. Those that arose after the transformation that introduced barcodes into our strain (type I) and those that arose before this transformation (type II). All pre-existing mutations of type I are linked to different barcodes. Therefore, these mutations can be identified by comparing barcodes of lineages identified as adapted in different populations. We found that pairs of populations have on average 416 (15%) adapted lineages with a common barcode, whereas only 23 (0.8%) would be expected by chance (Figure S8). Barcodes are more often shared between A populations than other types of population pairs (22% vs 13%, t-test $P = 10^{-10}$). We suspect that this is because the majority of adapted mutants have higher relative fitness in the A-condition than the C-condition (Figure 2B in the main text), such that pre-existing mutations are more likely to reach high frequencies and be detected in the A-condition. We eliminate pre-existing beneficial mutations of type I from our bDFE analysis by constructing these distributions from lineages with unique

barcodes.

The same pre-existing mutation of type II can be linked to multiple barcodes and is indistinguishable in the barcode data from multiple independently arising mutations. However, pre-existing mutations of type II can be identified in the genome sequencing data. As discussed in the methods section on genome sequencing and analysis, we find no evidence of such mutations, suggesting that they must be rare in our populations.

Additional analyses. The median standard error in our estimates of fitness of adaptive mutants was 0.02, and median CV was 0.08 (Figure S1A). The standard deviation of the bDFEs is much larger, 0.22 for A populations and 0.33 for C populations, suggesting that there are multiple classes of adaptive mutations available to yeast in both conditions. We confirm that the observation of a multimodal bDFE is not an artefact of combining the bDFEs of all replicate C populations (Figure S6). Furthermore, the fitness estimates have fairly consistent error distributions across all replicate populations (Figure S7).

2 Competitive fitness assays

We obtained barcode frequency data at cycles 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 (Figure S9). Fitness estimates of individual lineages were concordant between replicates (Figure S10), with relatively low estimation errors (Figure S11). Estimated fitness of sampled clones in both conditions along with errors and confidence intervals are provided in Data S2.

Determining valid clones for further analysis. Of the 581 clones that were pooled in the competition assays, we filtered out many clones from downstream analysis via a number of filters. First, clones that were sampled at cycle 17 were excluded from further analysis, as were clones that lacked a valid fitness measurement in either environment or had variance in fitness measurement in either environment ≥ 0.5 . These filters removed 151 of 581 from consideration, leaving us with 430 clones for further analysis.

Calling adapted clones. We use the competition assay data to call adapted clones. A clone is called as adapted in a given environment if its estimated competitive fitness in that environment is more than two standard errors greater than 1 (2.3% FDR based on normal distribution). We identified 401 clones as adapted in the A-condition (see Data S2). 221 of them were sampled from the A-condition and we refer to them as the A-mutants. We identified 402 clones as adapted in the C-condition (see Data S2). 189 of them were sampled from the C-condition and we refer to them as the C-mutants. There are 16 clones that are not adapted in either A or C condition, 13 clones that are adapted in the C-condition but not in the A-condition and 12 clones not adapted in either the A or C conditions, 12 come from lineages determined not to be adaptive in the BLT analysis,

which we define as our neutral clones (Data S2). The genomes of 215 A-mutants, 181 C-mutants and eight neutral mutants were later sequenced, as described in the Methods and Section 3.

Relationship between fitness estimated in competition assays and in BLT experiments. The correlation between fitness of adapted mutants (i.e., A- and C-mutants) estimated in the competition assays and those estimated from the BLT data is reasonably good (Figure S12, R = 0.57, $P = 8.77 \times 10^{-37}$), but there are also some systematic differences. Specifically, competition assays over-estimate BLT fitness in the A-condition and under-estimate it in the C-condition (Figure S12). We discuss possible reasons for these discrepancies below.

When we place mutants onto the bDFE in the non-home environment (as in Figure 2A in the main text) and when we estimate the probability of sampling a mutation in the non-home environment (see Section 4), we need to know the BLT fitness of mutants in their non-home environment. We have no direct measurements of BLT fitness of A- or C-mutants in their non-home environment, but we do have the non-home fitness estimates of all mutants in competition assays. However, directly substituting BLT fitness for competitive fitness would lead to biases due to the aforementioned discrepancies between the two estimates. To correct for these discrepancies, we linearly regress BLT fitness of A-mutants against their competitive fitness in the A-condition and we regress BLT fitness of C-mutants against their competitive fitness in the C-condition (see Figure S12). We then use these regressions to estimate the BLT fitness of C-mutants in the A-condition and A-mutants in the C-condition.

Difference in adaptive mutant fitness between conditions. Mutant's fitness were called as significantly different between A and C-conditions if the two 95% confidence intervals did not overlap. We discovered 178 such clones (108 A-mutants and 70 C-mutants), all of which were adaptive in both environments.

Possible reasons for the slight discrepancy between BLT and competitive fitness estimates. We can think of at least three possible reasons for this discrepancy. One possible reason is that we use a different set of reference lineages in the BLT experiments and competition assays. It is possible, for example, that some of the lineages that we use as reference in the competition assay are not in fact neutral in one or both of the conditions.

Another possible reason is that fitness is weakly frequency-dependent (this is expected in batch culture experiments [9]). Frequency dependence can manifest itself in a discrepancy between BLT and competition assays because lineages are present at much higher frequencies in the competition assays than in the BLT experiments. Specifically, the median frequency of an adapted clone (as defined from the competition analysis) in the competition assay is 4×10^{-4} at the initial time point, whereas the median frequency of an adapted lineage is 3×10^{-6} at the initial time point (the frequency of the adapted mutant driving the lineage frequency must be even lower since many if not most adapted lineage also initially contain non-adapted individuals).

A third possible reason is that the media composition in the BLT experiments and in competition assays could be somewhat different at later stages of the growth cycle because population compositions are different and the media composition is determined by the collective metabolism of all variants present in the population.

Given the overall concordance of fitness estimates, we decided that dissecting these relatively minor effects was not particularly important within the scope of the present work.

3 Genome sequencing and analysis

Small mutations. The distributions of derived small variants per clone is shown in Figure S16A and their summary statistics are given in Table S2. These data show that the majority of small variants detected in the evolved clones are still likely ancestral or erroneous. We can estimate the expected number of adaptive small variants as follows. A typical adapted isolate carries 1.18 more mutations compared to an ancestral isolate (Figures S15, S16, Table S2). However, not all of these mutations may be adaptive. Indeed, given that small indels and single-nucleotide mutations occur at rate 3×10^{-3} per genome per generation [10], we expect 0.18 of such mutations to have occurred on the line of descent of any isolate sampled at cycle 9 (~ 60 generations). Based on this estimate, a typical adapted clone is expected to carry 1.18 - 0.18 = 1.00 adaptive mutation.

One potential problem with this estimate is that our yeast strain may have a somewhat different mutation rate than the strain used in Ref. [10]. We can obtain an alternative estimate for the number of adaptive mutations per clone by comparing adapted clones with the neutral ones. We find that a typical neutral clone carries 1.96 ± 0.88 more mutations than a typical ancestral isolate¹ (Table S2), which is greater than 1.18 extra mutations carried by a typical adapted clone. Thus, it is possible that a typical adapted clone carries no beneficial small mutations.

The problem with the second estimate is that some of the clones identified as neutral may in fact carry adaptive mutations². Therefore, we use both methods to obtain bounds on the number of adaptive mutations carried by a typical adapted clone and conclude that a typical adapted clone is expected to carry between 0 and 1 small adaptive mutations. Carrying out the same calculations for the A- and C-mutants separately, we estimate that a typical A-mutant carries between 0 and 1.34 small adaptive mutations (so that between

¹This number is larger than 0.18 expected based on the yeast mutation rate, but the difference is not statistically significant (P = 0.08, t-test, expected $\mu = 0.18$).

²This is confirmed by the fact that we find two mutations at driver loci in the neutral clones (see Figure S16 and Table S2).

0 and 288 out of 1008 small mutations found in A-mutants are expected to be adaptive), and a typical C-mutant carries between 0 and 0.61 small adaptive mutations (so that between 0 and 110 out of 717 small mutations found in C-mutants are expected to be adaptive; Table S2).

Using genetic parallelism, we identify 185 mutations at 63 loci as adaptive (on average 0.47 mutations per clone), consistent with our expectation. 76 of these mutations at 39 loci are found in 60 A-mutants (on average 0.35 mutations per clone) and 109 mutations at 56 loci are found in 81 C-mutants (on average 0.60 mutations per clone; Table S2).

Total number of identified adaptive mutations per clone. After combining small mutations and CNVs together, we find that a typical A-mutant carries 0.74 identified adaptive mutations with 100 A-mutants having no identified adaptive mutations (Data S3). A typical C-mutant carries 1.11 identified adaptive mutations with 46 C-mutants having no identified adaptive mutations (Data S3). Since all A- and C-mutants gained fitness in their home environment, each of them must have at least one adaptive mutation. Therefore, the "unknown" sectors in Figure 2C in the main text refers to the numbers of A- or C-mutants without any identified adaptive mutations.

Pre-existing mutations. We use genetic information to test for the prevalence of preexisting mutations of type II, i.e., those that arose prior to the integration of the DNA barcodes, so that multiple lineages may carry an adaptive mutation identical by descent. If such mutations were prevalent, we would expect to observe an excess of adapted clones carrying identical genetic mutations, compared to ancestral isolates, but this is not the case (Figure S15). In fact, an identical small mutation is found less frequently in multiple adapted clones than in multiple ancestral clones, suggesting that some of the pre-existing genetic variation may have been deleterious.

CNV events are not suitable for this analysis because they occur at very high rates, and thus we have no way of ascertaining whether or not two identical CNV events are identical by descent. Indeed, many CNVs are aneuploidies, which occur at rate 9.7×10^{-4} per generation [10]. The only consistent segmental CNVs are ChrIV-1n and ChrIV-3n. ChrIV-1n mutations are localized to identical breakpoints across 64 mutants; these breakpoints are concordant with highly similar repetitive regions, YDRWTy2-2/YDRCTy1-2 and YDRWTy2-3/YDRCTy1-3. There are three different classes of ChrIV-3n amplifications across 10 mutants; at least some of these breakpoints also appear to be localized close to known repetitive regions, including YDRCTy2-1, YDRCTy1-1 and YDRWTy2-2. Thus, we suspect that these recombination-driven segmental events also occur at high rates and are not necessarily indicative of pre-existing variation.

4 Simulations of evolutionary dynamics and the estimation of rates of adaptive mutations

We found that the sets of A- and C-mutants are genetically distinct (Figures 2C in the main text, Extended Data Figure 4 and Data S4), despite all of them being more fit than the ancestor in both environments. In this section, we show that this somewhat puzzling observation can be explained by the differences in the evolutionary dynamics in the concurrent mutation regime [11].

There are two key differences between the A- and C-conditions. First, the bDFEs are different (Figure 2A in the main text), resulting in different increases of population's mean fitness over time. Second, the fitness rank orders of adaptive mutations are also different (Figure 2A in the main text). These two facts imply that in the concurrent mutation regime the chances for a given mutation to escape drift while rare and reach a certain frequency and be sampled can be substantially different in the two conditions. Next, we develop a quantitative version of this argument.

As described in Section 3, we classify all discovered adaptive mutations into mutation classes by the type of CNV or the gene in or near which the mutation occurred (Data S4). Let k_{im}^A and k_{im}^C be the number of sequenced A- or C-mutants that carry a mutation from class *m* sampled from the replicate population i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (see Table S3). We would like to know whether the differences between k_{im}^A and k_{im}^C can be explained by the observed differences in the bDFEs and by differences in the fitness benefits provided by mutations of class *m* in the A- and C-conditions.

The challenge is that the probability $\Pr\{k; s, U\}$ of observing k mutants of a certain type in a sample from a given population depends not only on the selection coefficients s of adaptive mutations of this type (which we have measured, as described in Section 2) but also on the unknown rate U at which such mutations arise. Therefore, we first find the mutation rates that fit our data and confirm that these rates are biologically plausible. We then ask how well the expected numbers of A- and C-mutants carrying specific mutations match the observed numbers k_{im}^A and k_{im}^C , given the estimated mutation rates.

4.1 Data

Exclusion of pre-existing mutations. A number of lineages are identified as adapted in multiple populations, indicative of pre-existing mutations of type I (see Methods for more details). Since such mutations do not carry information about the mutation rate, we exclude them from this analysis. That is, numbers k_{im}^A , k_{im}^C given in Table S3 and in Extended Data Figure 5 are the numbers of sampled and sequenced clones with unique barcodes.

Selection coefficients. Fitness of individual mutants in the BLT experiments are estimated based on the competition assay data, as described above (see Section 2), and

selection coefficients s_m^A and s_m^C are taken as averages over all mutants carrying a mutation from a given mutation class (Table S3).

Mean fitness trajectories. Our model described below takes into account the fact that the probability of a newly arisen beneficial mutation to survive genetic drift depends on how the mean fitness of the population changes over time. Thus, when simulating the evolutionary dynamics, we use the empirical mean selection coefficient trajectories $\bar{s}^A(t)$ and $\bar{s}^C(t)$ in the A- and C-conditions, respectively. $\bar{s}^A(t)$ and $\bar{s}^C(t)$ are computed by first averaging the values of the mean selection coefficient (estimated in as described in the Methods) over all five replicates and then fitting the logistic function to these data points (see Figure S1B).

4.2 Model

To keep the model as simple as possible, we assume that our population has the constant size $N = 2 \times 10^6$ in both A- and C-conditions, mutations from the mutation class m arise at (an unknown) rate U_m per division in both conditions. We assume that all mutation from class m confer the same (known) fitness benefits s_m^A and s_m^B relative to the ancestor in the A- and C-condition, respectively (Table S3). We also assume that all mutants are sampled at time T = 60 generations after the beginning of the experiments in both A- and C-conditions, which roughly corresponds to cycle 9, assuming $\log_2 100 = 6.64$ doublings per cycle (see Methods).

To estimate the mutation rate U_m for mutation class m we define the log-likelihood function

$$L(U_m) = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{5} \log \Pr\left\{k_{im}^A; s_m^A, U_m\right\} + \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{5} \log \Pr\left\{k_{im}^C; s_m^C, U_m\right\}.$$
 (1)

The sampling probability $\Pr\{k; s, U\}$ depends on the number of lineages that independently arose in the population and that carry a mutation of the focal type, as well as on the frequencies of these lineages at the sampling time point T. It is difficult to obtain an analytical expression for this probability because it requires integrating over all these nuisance parameters. We therefore compute the sampling probability numerically, using population dynamic simulations described below.

4.2.1 Evolutionary dynamics simulations and the estimation of the sampling probability

As mentioned above, difference in the bDFE between the A- and C-conditions may contribute to the observed differences in the genetic composition of sampled mutants. The bDFE indirectly affects the survival probability of a newly arisen beneficial mutation by altering how the mean fitness of the population changes over time. Thus, we design our

simulations so that they match the average empirical mean selection coefficient trajectories in the A- or C-condition (see Methods and Figure S1B). Matching these trajectories in simulations of the full Wright-Fisher where all mutation classes segregate simultaneously is difficult. Instead, we simulate the arrival and spread of mutations of each mutation class separately, while accounting for changes in mean fitness using our logistic fit of the observed mean fitness trajectories (Figure S1B). This approach also allows us to use branching process approximations described below in Section 4.2.2, which greatly speed up the calculations.

Since in this section we are concerned with mutants of one mutational class in one environment, we omit the subscript m and superscripts A/C. In other words, we assume that the mutations arrive at rate U per individual per generation and have selection coefficient s, and we sample 88 mutants from this population at time point T = 60.

In our simulations, we allow for new mutations to arise between 1 cycle prior to the beginning of the experiment up to cycle 9. Thus, we divide the time interval between -6.6and T = 60 generations into 260 to 1300 segments of length $\Delta t = 0.01/s$ generations. For each time segment $(t_i, t_i + \Delta t)$, we draw the number of new mutants arising in the population in that segment from the Poisson distribution with rate $N U \Delta t$. Each of these mutants survives until the sampling time point T with probability $P_{surv}(T; s, t_i)$, given by equation (2) below. For each mutant that survives, we draw its establishment time τ from the distribution given by equation (3) below. We then set the mutant's frequency at the sampling time point to $n(T; s_m, \tau)/N$, where n is given by equation (4) below. At the end of each simulation run, we obtain a list of frequencies of all independently arisen mutants carrying mutation of type m. We assume that each independently arisen mutants is linked to a distinct barcode. We then randomly sample 88 clones from the whole population and discard those that do not carry the focal adaptive mutation. If multiple clones are sampled from the same lineage, only one is retained. We further randomly sub-sample these clones with 97.5% success probability, simulating the small whole-genome sequencing failure rate, which results in the final number k of sampled clones that carry a mutation from the focal mutation class. We estimate the probability of sampling k clones, $\Pr\{k; s, U\}$, by running 10⁴ simulations and recording the fractions of simulations where we observe k sampled clones.

4.2.2 Branching process approximations for mutant growth dynamics

Consider a mutant that arises at some point $t_0 < T$ on the ancestral background. The early population dynamics of such mutant lineage can be modeled as a branching process [11]. In particular, Desai and Fisher [11] used the branching process approximation to derive the probability that a mutant with selection coefficient s that arose at time zero in the ancestral population (i.e., whose mean selection coefficient is zero) has not gone extinct by time t and the related probability that the mutant "establishes" at time τ (see equations (11) and (17) in Ref. [11]). However, this model ignores the fact that the mean fitness of

the population is changing over time while the focal mutant is still at low frequency. In our experiment, mean fitness changes very rapidly, as can be seen in Figure S1B, which can significantly alter mutant's survival probability and its establishment time. Assuming that the mean selection coefficient trajectory $\bar{s}(t)$ is known (see Section 4.1), we can model mutant dynamics analogous to Ref. [11] but with a generalized birth-death model with growth rate $1 + s - \bar{s}(t)$ and death rate 1. In this model, the probability that a mutant that arose at time t_0 survives until time t is given by

$$P_{\rm surv}(t;s,t_0) = \frac{\omega(t_0)}{1 + \omega(t_0) - e^{-\rho(t,t_0)}},\tag{2}$$

where

$$\omega(t) = s - \bar{s}(t),$$

$$\rho(t, t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t \omega(t') dt',$$

provided that $\omega(t_0) > 0$. Conditional on surviving, the probability that this mutant establishes at time τ is given by

$$P_{\rm est}(\tau; s, t_0) = \frac{\omega(t_0)}{1 + \omega(t_0)} \exp\left[-\rho(\tau, t_0) - \frac{e^{-\rho(\tau, t_0)}}{1 + \omega(t_0)}\right].$$
(3)

Once the mutant establishes at time τ and provided that it is still beneficial, i.e., $\omega(\tau) > 0$, its subsequent dynamics are essentially deterministic, so that its size $n(t;\tau)$ at time t is approximately given by

$$n(t;s,\tau) = \frac{e^{\rho(t,\tau)}}{\omega(\tau)},\tag{4}$$

which is analogous to equation (14) in Ref. [11] in the limit $t \gg 1/s$.

4.2.3 Estimation of mutation rates by maximum likelihood

For each mutation class m, we compute the likelihood function $L(U_m)$ using equation (1) for 40 discrete values of $u_m = \log_{10} U_m$ between -7 and -3 and interpolate between them using a polynomial of degree 4. The likelihood function for chrIII-3n mutations is shown as an example in Figure S17. We find the maximum of this function as a point where the polynomial approximation of L has a zero first derivative with respect to u_m . We estimate the standard error (SE) as the inverse of the square root of Fisher information [12].

4.3 Results

We estimated the rates of driver mutations at 9 loci that were mutated in at least five adapted clones, after excluding gene NUM1 where all mutations are likely pre-existing (see Extended Data Figure 4, Table S3 and Data S4). Our estimates are generally consistent with those derived from a published mutation accumulation experiment by Zhu et al [10]. In particular, our estimate of the rate of small adaptive mutations in genes HEM1 and HEM2 is $\sim 3 \times 10^{-7}$ per generation, consistent with per basepair mutation rate of 1.67×10^{-10} estimated by Zhu et al [10]. Gene YIL169C is an exception, with an estimated rate of adaptive point mutations of 7.76×10^{-6} per generation. We estimate the rates of large CNV events to be $\sim 10^{-5}$ per generation, again consistent with the genome-wide rate of aneuploidies of 1.04×10^{-4} estimated by Zhu et al [10]. Our estimate of the segmental duplications ChrIV-3n is much lower (3.24×10^{-7} per generation), also consistent with Ref. [10], although they do not provide a quantitative estimate of such events.

The probability that at least one sequenced mutant from all five replicate populations has a mutation with a given selection coefficient s and mutation rate U is shown in Extended Data Figure 5A,B, for both A- and C-conditions. As expected, this function is different between conditions because the mean fitness dynamics are different (Figure S1B). Finally, we directly compare the observed numbers of mutations of each mutation class with the expected numbers in the A- and C-conditions and find a reasonable match (Extended Data Figure 5C).

5 Phenotyping

5.1 Calibration curve for the measurement of alga cell density

We generated a calibration curve for interpreting fluorescence measurements in terms of alga cell number as follows. We grew alga in our standard growth conditions both alone and in a community with the ancestral yeast. On each day of the growth cycle, we counted alga cells using a haemocytometer and we took a fluorescence measurement using the a plate reader, as described in Methods. In addition, we generated a 2-fold dilution series starting with the saturated alga cultures at the end of the growth cycle both alone and in the community. We also obtained haemocytometer counts and fluorescence measurements for the alga grown in a 59 mutant communities (see Methods in the main text). We combined all these data to obtain a single the calibration curve by plotting the fluorescence against haemocytometer counts, both log-transformed (Figure S18). We found a good positive correlation (R = 0.96). We used the following equation to convert fluorescence measurements into cell density,

 $\log(\text{Cell density}) = 1.236 \times \log(\text{Fluorescence}) - 2.843.$

5.2 Analysis of plausibility of natural selection favoring high-K/low-r mutants

Our measurements of r and K for the adapted yeast mutants suggest that the increases in K at the expense of r and increases in r at the expense of K can both be adaptive in both A- and C-conditions. Theory suggests that high-K mutants can be favored by natural selection when the population is close to starvation [13, 14], but mutants with higher K, and especially those with lower r, are rarely found in evolution experiments. We therefore wanted to test whether the mutants with our measured r and K values (especially those with higher K and lower r than the wildtype) can plausibly invade the wildtype yeast population.

To this end, we constructed a simple coupled logistic growth model. We consider two strains, strain 1 (wildtype) and strain 2 (mutant) whose per capita growth rates are r_i and carrying capacities are K_i , i = 1, 2. The dynamics of the population sizes N_i (i = 1, 2) are then described by equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dN_1}{dt} &= r_1 N_1 \left(1 - \frac{N_1 + N_2}{K_1} \right), \\ \frac{dN_2}{dt} &= r_2 N_2 \left(1 - \frac{N_1 + N_2}{K_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We set $r_1 = 0.168 \text{ h}^{-1}$ and $K_1 = 5.5 \times 10^6$ for the wildtype and we set the mutant values r_2 and K_2 based on our measurements given in Data S2. We set the initial population sizes of the wildtype and mutants to be 10^4 and 10^2 individuals, respectively, and simulate the growth of these strains for 120 hours (the length of our standard growth cycle). We determine that a mutant can successfully invade if its frequency increases by the end of the growth cycle.

If our model perfectly captured our experimental conditions, all of the mutants would be able to invade, since all of them are experimentally found to be more fit than the wildtype. In fact, we find that only 37 out of 59 (63%) mutants are able to invade (Figure S22). As expected, five mutants that have both r and K lower than the wildtype could not invade in our model. Similarly, eight mutants with higher K but low r and nine mutants with higher r and lower K could not invade, suggesting that our model does not capture some processes and traits that are likely important in our experiment (e.g., it does not capture the dependence of growth and death rates on resource concentrations). Nevertheless, 16 out of 24 mutants with higher K and lower r are able to invade the wildtype in this simple model, which supports our argument that selection can favor high K in our conditions even if it comes at the expense of decreasing r.

5.3 Relationship between r, K and fitness

As described in the main text, we found that each r or K individually explain about 26% of variation in competitive fitness in the C-condition but do not explain any statistically significant variation in the A-condition. However, it is possible that a linear combination of both variables would improve our ability to predict fitness in both conditions. To this end, we consider a multiple regression model Fitness $\sim r+K$. We report the results of this regression analysis in Table S4. We find that r and K jointly do not explain any variation in fitness in the A-condition, but they jointly explain 37% of variation in fitness in the C-conditions, which is significantly more than each of them explains individually. Both r and K contribute approximately equally to fitness. Furthermore, we find that decreasing r increases fitness even if K is being held constant. This surprising observation could be explained if one or more unobserved traits (other than r and K) were important for competitive fitness and if there was a trade-off between r and such unobserved trait.

6 Possible function effects of mutations in *HEM1*, *HEM2* and *HEM3* genes

Three critical components of the heme biosynthesis pathway, HEM1, HEM2 and HEM3 are putative targets of adaptation in this study, and provide a substantially larger fitness benefit in the C-condition than in the A-condition (see Data S3 and Data S4). Most mutations found in these genes probably compromise the function of the encoded enzymes and lead to a decreased "siphoning" of succinyl-coenzyme A (sCoA) from the TCA cycle and the production of less heme and/or decoupling of aerobic-driven regulation from aspects of central metabolism. Although yeast is already capable of performing fermentation under ambient oxygen concentration, we speculate that these mutations enables yeast to ferment under even higher oxygen concentrations that results from alga photosynthesis.

6.1 HEM1

HEM1 encodes the mitochondrial 5-aminolevulinate synthase (ALAS) that catalyzes the first committed step of porphyrin through the condensation of glycine and sCoA using the cofactor pyridoxal 5'-phosphate (PLP):

 $sCoA + glycine + PLPcofactor \implies 5-aminolevulinate + CoA + CO_2 + PLPcofactor$

ALAS links heme/cytochrome production with the TCA cycle and aerobic respiration via sCoA, and thus plays a key role in cellular energetics [15]. ALAS functions as a homodimer (with subunits referred to as A and B below). A crystal structure of *S. cerevisiae* HEM1 is available (https://www.rcsb.org/3d-view/5TXR/1).

We found 5 adaptive mutations in the *HEM1* gene, four of which occurred in the C-mutants (Data S3 and Data S4). A nonsense mutation at residue 166 (out of 548) in HEM1/ALAS leads to a truncated coding sequence and presumably loss of function. All other mutations alter amino acids that are conserved in all sequenced *S. cerevisiae* strains, which we speculate may also significantly compromise normal HEM1/ALAS function, as described below.

His107Pro mutation does not seem to be involved in any substrate or co-factor binding directly, but a change from histidine to a proline, with significant backbone confirmational constraints may have serious consequences for the folding (and thus function) of the enzyme. Bracketing this position (107) nearby is Arg91, which plays a critical role in sCoA binding, and Asn121, which forms an alpha-carboxylate hydrogen bond with the glycine substrate [16]. Moreover, His107 (of subunit B) forms important structurally stabilizing side-chain interactions with Glu111 (subunit B) and Lys142 (subunit A) (see Figure S3 of [16]), which may very well be disrupted with a proline substitution (lacking a side chain).

Asn152Lys and Asn157Lys mutations occur in a region of the ALAS protein that becomes ordered upon PLP cofactor binding. N152 plays a direct role in coordinating sCoA [16], hydrogen bonding with the carboxylate (COO-) moiety of the sCoA succinyl group. Mutation from asparagine to a positively charged lysine may both disrupt the formation of this hydrogen bond and prevent a key side-chain interaction between Asn152 (subunit A) and Arg91 (subunit B) that stabilizes key structural elements needed for PLP cofactor binding (we expect the side chain of mutation Lys152 to repel that of Arg91 since both are positively charged). Asn157Lys is a few amino acids downstream from Ile153, which also plays a role in carboxylate/sCoA coordination like Asn121 [16]. Asn157 is adjacent to Ile158 (subunit A) that forms stabilizing main-chain and side-chain interactions upon PLP cofactor binding with Asn95 and Asn 97 (both on subunit B); mutation to Lys may disrupt these interactions, along with that of nearby stabilizing interactions between Arg98 (subunit B) and Ala147 (subunit A), due to positive charge repulsion between Arg98 and Asn157Lys (see Figure S3 of [16]).

Gly344Cys mutation occurs at a site that does not directly bind substrate or co-factor but is each bracketed by amino acids that do play key active site roles and could also have structural consequences as conformational flexibility is likely lost with the change away from glycine. Gly344 is flanked (although several amino acids away) by K337 that forms a critical covalent pyridoxyl-lysine bond, and F365 which delineates the sCoA substratebinding pocket [16]. Gly344 resides on the juncture between a beta-sheet and alpha-helix motif in the protein structure, with little space for a side chain. Mutation of this site to a cysteine may alter backbone flexibility and the folding of key structural elements. Gly344 is also in close proximity to Cys182 that forms stabilizing hydrogen bonds with

amino acids Asn129, Thr275, and Gly 276; having another cysteine nearby may very likely interfere with that hydrogen bonding network.

6.2 HEM2

HEM2 encodes for the cytoplasmic/nuclear, homo-octameric aminolevulinate dehydratase (ALAD; porphobilinogen synthase), which catalyzes the second step in heme biosynthesis:

```
5-aminolevulinate \implies porphobilinogen + 2 H<sub>2</sub>O
```

A crystal structure of *S. cerevisiae* HEM2 is also available (https://www.rcsb.org/ 3d-view/1AW5/1).

We found 5 adaptive mutations in the *HEM2* gene, four of which also occurred in the C-mutants (Data S3 and Data S4). Two frame-shift mutations lead to premature stop codons ~ 100 out of 342 amino acids. The three remaining mutations Ile129Phe, Val132Phe, and Pro264Arg are predicted to have moderate impact.

Ile129 resides on a beta-strand with a side chain embedded in a relatively hydrophobic environment. It is unclear what the consequences of mutation Ile129Phe might be, as phenylalanine is a comparably bulky hydrophobic side chain to isoleucine that would at first glance seem to be a conservative substitution [17]. However, several aromatic amino acids are in the vicinity, including Trp30 and Tyr127; Ile129Phe may form aromatic ring-stacking interactions with these residues to disrupt fold structure.

Val132 is similarly on a beta-strand with a side chain embedded in another nearby hydrophobic pocket and interface with other non-polar amino acids on alpha-helix. The Val132Phe mutation could disrupt the tight packing at this interface as phenylalanine is substantially bulkier than valine. Moreover, Tyr168 is nearby which hydrogen bonds a key water molecule that is hydrogen-bonded to several other backbone atoms flanking Val132; the Val132Phe mutation may also disrupt this by interacting with Tyr168 through aromatic stacking interactions. Pro264 resides in a sharply kinked beta-turn between beta-strand and alpha-helix elements, which may be important for constraining and enabling key interactions of flanking residues with amino acids distributed across different structural elements: Val262/Ser265/Tyr287, Ser265/Glu292, and Lys263/Tyr287 (see https://www.rcsb.org/3d-view/1AW5/1).

Pro264Arg mutation is pronounced not just for the loss of backbone constraint provided by the imino acid proline [17], but it introduces a large positively charged side change that may form "inappropriate" interactions to disrupt monomer fold and subsequently oligomerization; these include interactions with nearby: negatively charged amino acids: Glu292 and Glu313 aromatic amino acids (through cation-pi interactions) Phe211

(normally interacting with Tyr268 via aromatic stacking), Tyr216 (normally interacting with the backbone of Phe211), Tyr268 (normally interacting with Glu313), and Tyr287 (normally interacting with Lys263 via cation-pi interactions).

6.3 HEM3

HEM3 encodes for the cytoplasmic/nuclear enzyme, porphobilinogen deaminase/hydroxymethylbilane synthase (HMBS), which catalyzes the third step in heme biosynthesis involving four molecules of porphobilinogen to make a linear hydroxymethybilane molecule that looks like a heme/tetrapyrole when "wrapped around":

 $4 \text{ porphobilinogen} + H_2O \implies hydroxymethylbilane + 4 \text{ NH}_3$

We found 4 adaptive mutations in the *HEM3* gene, three of which occurred in the Cmutants (Data S3 and Data S4). However, since no structure exists for *S. cerevisiae* HEM3 protein and since the homology with the human ortholog for which the structure does exist (https://www.rcsb.org/3d-view/5M6R/1) is rather low (~ 40% similarity), predicting the functional effects of these mutations is challenging.

7 Data availability

All raw sequencing data is available on the US National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under BioProject PRJNA735257. Other input data (e.g. growth data, variant calls, community yield etc) and analysis scripts can be found on Dryad at

https://doi.org/10.6076/D14K5X.

The latest version of the barcode counting software BarcodeCounter2 can be found at

https://github.com/sandeepvenkataram/BarcodeCounter2.git.

8 Supplementary Figures



Figure S1. Estimation of fitness of adapted lineages in the BLT data. A. The mean lineage fitness (x-axis) is plotted against the coefficient of variation (CV) of the estimate (y-axis). Blue (red) points indicate lineages identified as adapted (non-adapted). B. Mean fitness of each population over time. Individual populations are shown in grey, the average mean fitness trajectory over all populations is shown in black, and a logistic fit to this average trajectory is shown in red. C, D. Examples of selection coefficient estimation for one lineage in one A population (C) and one C population (D).



Figure S2. Analysis of sensitivity of the procedure for calling adapted lineages with respect to the minimum frequency threshold and the expansion factor. A. Sensitivity of the difference in the bDFE means. B. Sensitivity of the difference in the bDFE interquartile interval (IQR). C. Number of called adapted lineages as a function of the minimum frequency threshold and the expansion factor. The red square on each panel highlights the parameter regime used for all of our remaining analyses.



Figure S3. Analysis of sensitivity of the procedure for calling adapted lineages with respect to the SE parameter. Median of the pooled bDFE as a function of the SE parameter.



Figure S4. Precision and accuracy of the procedure for calling adapted lineages. The panels show the frequency trajectories of simulated lineages classified by our heuristic procedure (see Section 1 for details).



Figure S5. Accuracy of BLT fitness estimates and the shape of the bDFE. A. Relationship between the true fitness of a lineage (x-axis) and its fitness estimated from a simulated BLT experiment (y-axis; see Section 1 for details). The solid line shows the diagonal. The correlation coefficient and the associated *P*-value are calculated only for the true positives. **B.** True and estimated bDFEs.



Figure S6. bDFEs estimated for replicate populations individually. The blue dashed line shows the pooled bDFE in the same condition (same as in Figure 2A).


Figure S7. Distributions of standard errors for fitness estimates of adapted lineages for all replicate populations.



Figure S8. Evidence for pre-existing mutations in the BLT experiments. For each population on the x-axis, we plot the fraction of lineages identified as adapted in that population which are also identified as adapted in every other population (y-axis, black points) as well as the expectation for this fraction (red points). The expected overlap between populations i and j is calculated by comparing the observed adaptive lineages in population i to a random set of lineages from population j that reach detectable frequency. All lineages are considered equally for sampling.



Figure S9. Lineage frequency trajectories in the competition assay. Reference lineages are shown in green, all other lineages are shown in orange.



Figure S10. Correlation between fitness estimates across replicates of the competition assay. Line shows the diagonal.



Figure S11. Mean and the coefficient of variation of the fitness estimates in the competition assay.



Figure S12. Correlation between BLT and competitive fitness estimates. Solid and dashed lines show linear regressions for the A-mutants (in the A-condition) and C-mutants (in the C-condition), respectively.



Figure S13. An example illustrating the detection of large CNVs in the genome sequence data. A. 1kb-window coverage plot for clone 386817 from population C1. B. Same data, after normalization using the relationship between coverage and chromosomal position shown in Figure S14. C. Focusing on chrIV to manually identify breakpoints for the chrIV-1n mutation (red lines).



Figure S14. Relationship between coverage and chromosome position. Mean coverage in 1kb windows for clone 386817 from population C1 is shown vs distance of locus from the end of a chromosome.



Figure S15. Allele frequency spectrum for ancestral and adapted isolates. Each bar shows the number of small mutations (point mutations and small indels) found in a given number of ancestral or adapted clones. The distribution for adapted clones is averaged over 1000 random draws of 24 clones.



Figure S16. Distribution of mutations per clone. A. Number of small mutations detected per sequenced isolate. Triangles on the *x*-axis indicate the means of each distribution (see also Table S2). B. Distribution of the number of identified adaptive small mutations per isolate. C. Distribution of the number of CNVs per isolate.



Figure S17. Estimation of the rate of beneficial mutations. The log-likelihood function for the estimation of the chrIII-3n beneficial mutation rate is shown as an example. Red point indicates the identified maximum value. Horizontal red bar shows the standard error calculated based on Fisher Information.



Figure S18. Calibration curve for the alga cell density estimation. y-axis shows the natural logarithm of density of alga cells measured by haemocytometer, x-axis shows the natural logarithm of chlorophyll fluorescence. The measured alga cultures were obtained either from growth curve experiments (red) or from a serial dilution experiment (blue; see Section 5.1 for details). The black line shows the regression line used for converting chlorophyll fluorescence measurements into cell density estimates.



Figure S19. Correlation between yield measurements across replicates. The solid line shows y = x. Normalization is calculated relative to the ancestral strain.



Figure S20. Mutant growth curves in the A-condition. Growth curves for the 59 adapted yeast mutants are shown, from which r and K values are estimated as described in Methods in the main text. A. Replicate 1. B. Replicate 2.



Figure S21. Correlation between replicates for r and K measurements.



Figure S22. Mutant invasion analysis. Yeast mutants that are able to invade the wildtype population in the logistic growth simulations are shown in red, those that are not able to invade are shown in blue (see Section 5.2 for details).

9	Supp	lementary	Tabl	\mathbf{les}
---	------	-----------	------	----------------

Measurement	Day	Yeast	Alga
	0	10^{-3}	3×10^{-3}
Abcoluto	1	6×10^{-4}	8×10^{-4}
Absolute	2	3×10^{-3}	5×10^{-5}
(Figure 1)	3	10^{-5}	9×10^{-4}
(rigure 1)	4	0.05	10^{-5}
	5	0.73	10^{-5}
	0-1	0.07	0.03
Growth rate	1-2	7×10^{-5}	0.85
(Extended Data	2-3	0.85	10^{-4}
Figure 1)	3-4	5×10^{-4}	10^{-3}
	4-5	0.97	0.07

Table S1. *P*-values for comparison of absolute abundances and net population change rates across conditions. *P*-values are obtained from t-tests and corrected for multiple testing using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

Isolates		Small variants				CNVs	
				Ada	ptive		
Type	Number	Total	Per isolate	Expected	Identified	Total	Per isolate
Ancestral	24	76	3.17 ± 0.51	—	3	0	_
Neutral	8	41	5.13 ± 0.72	—	2	0	_
A-mutants	215	1008	4.69 ± 0.20	(0, 288)	76	84	0.39 ± 0.04
C-mutants	181	717	3.96 ± 0.22	(0, 110)	109	92	0.51 ± 0.04
Total	428	1842	_	_	190	176	_

Table S2. Statistics of mutational counts among sequenced isolates.

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $						Mutatior.	n class m/L	ocus			
A1 13 0 2 0 0 1 0	Culture	isolates	chrIV-1n	chrIII-3n	chrXIV-3n	chrIV-3n	chrIX-3n	chrXIII-3n	YIL169C	HEM1	HEM2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A1	13	0	2	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
A3 12 3 3 0 1 0	A2	17	က	က	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A3	12	က	က	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
A5 18 5 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 C1 25 8 0 2 1 3 2 1 1 1 C2 16 1 1 6 0 2 0<	A4	19	က	Ŋ	0		0	0	0	0	0
C1 25 8 0 0 2 1 1 1 3 2 1 1 1 C2 16 1 1 1 6 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 C3 21 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 C4 11 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 C5 24 6 1 2 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 s_m^A 0.916 0.855 0.593 1.18 0.527 0.315 0.708 0.955 0. s_m^C 1.17 0.689 1.05 1.3 0.492 0.575 0.744 1.34 1 s_m^C 2.0 1.05 1.3 0.492 0.575 0.744 1.34 1	A5	18	5	Η	0	0	Η	0	1	0	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	C1	25	×	0	2		3	2			0
C3 21 5 0 0 0 2 0 2 C4 11 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 C5 24 6 1 2 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 1 s_m^A 0.916 0.855 0.593 1.18 0.527 0.315 0.708 0.955 0. s_m^C 1.17 0.689 1.05 1.3 0.492 0.575 0.744 1.34 1 s_m^C 2.0 1.05 1.3 0.492 0.575 0.744 1.34 1	C2	16	1	1	9	0	2	0	0	0	
C4 11 5 0 0 0 0 0 1 C5 24 6 1 2 1 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 s_m^A 0.916 0.855 0.593 1.18 0.527 0.315 0.708 0.955 0. s_m^C 1.17 0.689 1.05 1.3 0.492 0.575 0.744 1.34 1 s_m^C 5.0 1.17 0.689 1.05 1.3 0.492 0.575 0.744 1.34 1	C3	21	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	Η
C5 24 6 1 2 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 s_m^A 0.916 0.855 0.593 1.18 0.527 0.315 0.708 0.955 0. s_m^C 1.17 0.689 1.05 1.3 0.492 0.575 0.744 1.34 1 s_m^C 5.0 1.77 5.1 5.0 1.10 1.5 1.3 0.492 0.575 0.744 1.34 1	C4	11	Ŋ	0	0	0	0	0	0	Η	Η
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	C5	24	9	Η	2		Η	0	1	0	0
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		s_m^A	0.916	0.855	0.593	1.18	0.527	0.315	0.708	0.955	0.874
÷ E90 177 EE1 640 111 1E0 E11 66E		s_m^{CG}	1.17	0.689	1.05	1.3	0.492	0.575	0.744	1.34	1.24
u_m -0.02 -4.11 -0.01 -0.49 -4.14 -4.00 -0.11 -0.00 -1	<u> </u>	\hat{u}_m	-5.32	-4.77	-5.51	-6.49	-4.14	-4.58	-5.11	-6.65	-6.59

ation	solates	
mut	ced is	
ated	duen	
stim	of se	
the e	Imber	
and 1	tal nu	$_0 U_m$.
ons a	he to	$= \log_{1}$
utati	ows t.	$u_m =$
ve m	s" she	lated
lapti	solate	estin
on ac	beq. is	$^{\rm rs}$ the
mme	nn S	show
st cc	Colur	t row
e mo	own.	. Las
g the	re sho	ılture
rryin	des a	ch cu
ss cal	oarco	om ea
olate	ique l	ed fre
of is	ch un	sampl
\mathbf{bers}	es wit	s sabo
Num	clon(barcc
S3.]	Only	nique
able	tes.	ith ur

Condition	R^2	Р	β_r	P_r	β_K	P_K
A	0.067	0.14	0.15	0.72	0.73	0.05
\mathbf{C}	0.374	2×10^{-6}	-0.81	0.002	0.72	0.002

Table S4. Multiple regression analysis of fitness against life-history traits. β_r and β_K are standardized regression coefficients for r and K, respectively. P_r and P_K are P-values indicating whether adding r or K to the model significantly improves the fit above and beyond the single-variable model.

References

- [1] Kryazhimskiy S, Rice DP, Jerison ER, Desai MM. Global epistasis makes adaptation predictable despite sequence-level stochasticity. Science. 2014;344(6191):1519–1522.
- [2] Levy SF, Blundell JR, Venkataram S, Petrov DA, Fisher DS, Sherlock G. Quantitative evolutionary dynamics using high-resolution lineage tracking. Nature. 2015;519(7542):181–186.
- [3] Lang GI, Rice DP, Hickman MJ, Sodergren E, Weinstock GM, Botstein D, et al. Pervasive genetic hitchhiking and clonal interference in forty evolving yeast populations. Nature. 2013;500(7464):571–574.
- [4] Kao KC, Sherlock G. Molecular characterization of clonal interference during adaptive evolution in asexual populations of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Nature genetics. 2008;40(12):1499–1504.
- [5] Blundell JR, Schwartz K, Francois D, Fisher DS, Sherlock G, Levy SF. The dynamics of adaptive genetic diversity during the early stages of clonal evolution. Nature ecology & evolution. 2019;3(2):293–301.
- [6] Collins S, Bell G. Phenotypic consequences of 1,000 generations of selection at elevated CO₂ in a green alga. Nature. 2004;431(7008):566–569.
- [7] Perrineau MM, Gross J, Zelzion E, Price DC, Levitan O, Boyd J, et al. Using natural selection to explore the adaptive potential of Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. PLoS One. 2014;9(3):e92533.
- [8] Kuo HY, Kryazhimskiy S. A Bayesian filtering method for estimating fitness effects of nascent beneficial mutations in barcode lineage tracking experiments. In preparation. 2022;.
- [9] Manhart M, Adkar BV, Shakhnovich EI. Trade-offs between microbial growth phases lead to frequency-dependent and non-transitive selection. Proc Biol Sci. 2018;285(1872).
- [10] Zhu YO, Siegal ML, Hall DW, Petrov DA. Precise estimates of mutation rate and spectrum in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111(22):E2310-8.
- [11] Desai MM, Fisher DS. Beneficial mutation-selection balance and the effect of linkage on positive selection. Genetics. 2007;176(3):1759–1798.
- [12] Pawitan Y. In All Likelihood: Statistical Modelling and Inference Using Likelihood. Oxford science publications. OUP Oxford; 2001.

- [13] MacArthur RH, Wilson EO. The theory of island biogeography. Princeton University press; 2016.
- [14] Reznick D, Bryant MJ, Bashey F. r-and K-selection revisited: the role of population regulation in life-history evolution. Ecology. 2002;83(6):1509–1520.
- [15] Hunter GA, Ferreira GC. Molecular enzymology of 5-aminolevulinate synthase, the gatekeeper of heme biosynthesis. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2011;1814(11):1467–1473.
- [16] Brown BL, Kardon JR, Sauer RT, Baker TA. Structure of the Mitochondrial Aminolevulinic Acid Synthase, a Key Heme Biosynthetic Enzyme. Structure. 2018;26(4):580–589.e4.
- [17] Betts MJ, Russell RB. Amino-acid properties and consequences of substitutions. In: Bioinformatics for Geneticists. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2007. p. 311–342.